LMU-ASC 05/11

arXiv:1102.1434v2 [hep-th] 1 Dec 2011

Heterotic string from a higher dimensional perspective

David Andriot

Arnold Sommerfeld Center, c/o LS Lüst, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität,
Theresienstrasse 37, 80333 München, Germany

David.Andriot@physik.uni-muenchen.de

Abstract

The (abelian bosonic) heterotic string effective action, equations of motion and Bianchi identity at order α' in ten dimensions, are shown to be equivalent to a higher dimensional action, its derived equations of motion and Bianchi identity. The two actions are the same up to the gauge fields: the latter are absorbed in the higher dimensional fields and geometry. This construction is inspired by heterotic T-duality, which becomes natural in this higher dimensional theory.

We also prove the equivalence of the heterotic string supersymmetry conditions with higher dimensional geometric conditions. Finally, some known Kähler and non-Kähler heterotic solutions are shown to be trivially related from this higher dimensional perspective, via a simple exchange of directions. This exchange can be encoded in a heterotic T-duality, and it may also lead to new solutions.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Heterotic string effective description in ten dimensions **5**

3 Equivalence with a higher dimensional theory **7**
- 3.1 A higher dimensional theory . . . 7
- 3.2 The "heterotic ansatz" . . . 8
- 3.3 The equivalence . . . 9
  - 3.3.1 The α' dependence . . . 9
  - 3.3.2 Let's play . . . 10
  - 3.3.3 Final matching . . . 12
- 3.4 Comments on the non-abelian case . . . 13

4 Higher dimensional supersymmetry conditions **13**
- 4.1 Setting the stage . . . 14
- 4.2 Equivalence of the SUSY conditions . . . 15

5 Solutions of heterotic string **17**

6 T-duality and Generalized Complex Geometry for heterotic string **20**
- 6.1 T-duality on the NSNS sector and Generalized Complex Geometry . . . 20
- 6.2 T-duality in heterotic string . . . 22
- 6.3 Relating Kähler and non-Kähler solutions via T-duality . . . 23
- 6.4 Buscher T-dualities, type IIB supergravity, and Generalized Complex Geometry . . . 24

7 Final remarks **26**

A Conventions on forms and Riemannian geometry **28**
- A.1 Forms and Hodge star . . . 28
- A.2 Complex forms . . . 28
- A.3 Elements of Riemannian geometry . . . 29
- A.4 Heterotic string connections . . . 31

B Heterotic string equations of motion **32**
- B.1 First variations of the action . . . 32
- B.2 The variation with respect to ω_+ . . . 33
- B.3 Conclusion on the equations of motion . . . 35

C Rewriting the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory **35**
- C.1 The Einstein equation and the H-flux . . . 36
- C.2 The B-field equation of motion . . . 37

1 Introduction

String theories are known to be related by a web of dualities. It is a common belief that they could be different partial descriptions of a more fundamental theory. Such a theory would then admit these dualities as symmetries. To understand better the structure behind these different descriptions, or at least, to have a better control on these dualities, it is of interest to construct and work with theories which are manifestly duality-covariant. Some progress in this direction has been made, at least at the level of the effective descriptions of string theories, in particular supergravities (SUGRA). A common feature of theories in which a duality transformation appears explicitly, possibly as a symmetry, is to consider additional dimensions. The duality is then often promoted to a simple geometric transformation involving these additional dimensions. F-theory is an example of such a construction for S-duality [1]. For T-duality, several constructions have been worked out. A theory in which the target space fields are independent of d_i dimensions (these are then d_i isometries) admits transformations under the T-duality group $O(d_i, d_i)$. We will always mean here the continuous real group for the effective supergravity description, but it turns out to be broken to its discrete subgroup for the full string theory (for a review see [2, 3]). A theory dimensionally reduced along the d_i isometries will then admit T-duality as a symmetry, but the latter would appear more explicitly by going back to a set-up with the d_i additional dimensions. Generalized Complex Geometry (GCG) [4, 5, 6] or Doubled Geometry [7] (and Double Field Theory [8]) even consider spaces of doubled dimension[1] $2d_i$. This allows to give a geometric meaning to the T-duality group, which makes these transformations even more natural. In this paper, we are interested in heterotic string with a gauge group having a $d_{\rm g}$-dimensional Cartan subgroup. In that case, the T-duality group is enhanced to $O(d_i, d_i + d_{\rm g})$. This was first noticed via lattice and moduli space studies [9, 10], then via world-sheet [11, 12, 13] and effective actions [14, 15] analysis. We will study here a geometrization of the $d_i + d_{\rm g}$ dimensions, which, to the best of our knowledge, has not been proposed so far. It involves non-trivially the gauge fields, and put them on equal footing with the other fields. We will consider an associated higher dimensional theory and show it is equivalent to the (abelian bosonic) heterotic string effective description at order α'. The heterotic T-duality is then more natural in this higher dimensional construction.

The prospect of getting a theory explicitly covariant under the heterotic T-duality transformation, is the first motivation for this study. In particular, we come back in section 7 to the T-duality covariant rewriting of the (abelian bosonic) heterotic string effective action using a generalized metric, similarly to [15, 8] for the NSNS action. Such a rewriting follows automatically from the higher dimensional theory we consider here. The equivalence shown will turn out to have further interesting consequences. For instance, it allows to relate in a trivial way some heterotic solutions which look a priori very different (the Kähler/non-Kähler solutions). Finally, note that the equivalent higher dimensional theory is very close to the effective description of bosonic string; we then comment in section 7 on possible relations of our (target space) equivalence to the (world-sheet) embedding of heterotic string into bosonic string.

In order to motivate our construction, let us give more details on the heterotic T-duality. The action of the $O(d_i, d_i)$ group on the metric g and the B-field B can usually be encoded via the fractional linear transformation (detailed in (6.2)), which is acting on the $d_i \times d_i$ matrix[2] $g + B$. Out of world-sheet analysis [11, 12, 13], it was pointed out that in the heterotic case, one could use a $(d_i + d_{\rm g}) \times (d_i + d_{\rm g})$ matrix to play the role of $g + B$. This bigger matrix could be decomposed in its symmetric and antisymmetric part, giving a pseudo metric $\tilde{g}$ and a pseudo B-field $\tilde{B}$ on a bigger

---

[1] Note that the doubling is not the same: in Doubled Geometry, the doubled dimensions are independent of the initial ones, which is not the case in GCG.

[2] The m, n coefficients of this matrix are given by $g_{mn} + B_{mn}$, where those coefficients are obtained in a local coordinate basis of forms dx^m.

$(d_i + d_{\rm g})$-dimensional space. Their components were given by

$$\tilde{g} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{mn} + g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} A^{\mathfrak{a}}_m A^{\mathfrak{b}}_n & g_{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{a}} A^{\mathfrak{a}}_m \\ g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} A^{\mathfrak{b}}_n & g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} \end{pmatrix} \ , \ \tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{mn} & g_{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{a}} A^{\mathfrak{a}}_m \\ -g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} A^{\mathfrak{b}}_n & B_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{1.1}$$

where the connection $A^{\mathfrak{a}}_m$ was proposed to be related to the heterotic gauge potential. On the $d_{\rm g}$ part of the space, the metric $g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}$, respectively the B-field $B_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}$, were given by a symmetrized, respectively antisymmetrized, version of the Cartan matrix of the gauge algebra, as already proposed in [16]. We can rewrite these pseudo fields in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} {\rm d}\tilde{s}^2 &= g_{mn}{\rm d}x^m{\rm d}x^n + g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}({\rm d}x^{\mathfrak{a}} + A^{\mathfrak{a}}_m{\rm d}x^m)({\rm d}x^{\mathfrak{b}} + A^{\mathfrak{b}}_n{\rm d}x^n) \\ &= (g_{mn} + g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}A^{\mathfrak{a}}_m A^{\mathfrak{b}}_n){\rm d}x^m{\rm d}x^n + 2g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}A^{\mathfrak{b}}_m{\rm d}x^m{\rm d}x^{\mathfrak{a}} + g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}{\rm d}x^{\mathfrak{a}}{\rm d}x^{\mathfrak{b}} \ , \qquad (1.2) \\ \tilde{B} &= \frac{1}{2}B_{mn}{\rm d}x^m \wedge {\rm d}x^n + g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} \ A^{\mathfrak{b}}_m{\rm d}x^m \wedge {\rm d}x^{\mathfrak{a}} + \frac{1}{2}B_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}{\rm d}x^{\mathfrak{a}} \wedge {\rm d}x^{\mathfrak{b}} \ , \qquad (1.3) \end{aligned}$$

where we introduced $({\rm d}x^{m=1\dots d_i}, {\rm d}x^{\mathfrak{a}=1\dots d_{\rm g}})$, the one-forms defined locally on this bigger space. Because one considers $(d_i + d_{\rm g}) \times (d_i + d_{\rm g})$ matrices, one could naively consider the T-duality group to be enhanced to $O(d_i + d_{\rm g}, d_i + d_{\rm g})$. Nevertheless, the form of the matrices is constrained, and should remain the same under the transformations. In particular, the last $d_{\rm g}$ lines of $\tilde{g} + \tilde{B}$ are totally fixed and should be left unchanged. Therefore, the group is only $O(d_i, d_i + d_{\rm g})$.

As far as we know, this extension of the metric and B-field has only been considered as a trick to work out the T-duality transformations in heterotic string, but not as actual target space fields on a $(d_i + d_{\rm g})$-dimensional space. Here we will go further and consider a higher dimensional theory. Provided its fields are given by expressions close to (1.2) and (1.3) (which we will call the "heterotic ansatz"), we will show that this theory is equivalent to the (abelian bosonic) heterotic string effective description at order α'. The heterotic T-duality will then be more natural in this equivalent higher dimensional theory.

More precisely, we are going to consider a theory on a $\tilde{D}$-dimensional target space, where $\tilde{D} = D + d_{\rm g}$, and $D \geq d_i$ should correspond eventually to the dimension of the standard heterotic target space (usually $D = 10$). We take for this $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory the following action

$$\tilde{S} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2_{\tilde{D}}} \int {\rm d}^{\tilde{D}}x \sqrt{|\tilde{g}|} e^{-2\tilde{\phi}} \left[\tilde{R} + 4|{\rm d}\tilde{\phi}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{H}|^2 + \frac{\alpha'}{4}{\rm tr}(\tilde{R}^2_+) \right] \ , \tag{1.4}$$

with the metric $\tilde{g}$, the dilaton $\tilde{\phi}$, and the two-form B-field $\tilde{B}$. The H-flux is defined as

$$\tilde{H} = {\rm d}\tilde{B} + \frac{\alpha'}{4}{\rm CS}(\tilde{\omega}_+) \ , \tag{1.5}$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_+$ is a spin connection involving $\tilde{H}$. We refer to the core of the paper for more details. This action is very close the (bosonic) heterotic string effective description at order α'. The main differences are that it is $\tilde{D}$-dimensional, and that there are no gauge field.

Then, we derive at order α' the Bianchi identity (BI) and the equations of motion (e.o.m.) of this theory. The latter are of course very close to the heterotic e.o.m. Nevertheless, the derivation of these equations at order α' is not so straightforward. It is complicated by the dependence of the connection ω_+ in the metric, the B-field, and the gauge potential. Fortunately, the variation of the action with respect to this ω_+ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\kappa^2}{\sqrt{|g|}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta \omega^a_{+\ bc}} &= -\frac{\alpha'}{4}\Bigg[(-*)\left(\theta^c \wedge \omega^b_{+\ a} \wedge E_{B\ 0}\right) + 4\eta^{bl}\eta^{cd}\eta^{fe}\ \nabla_{+,-\ f}\left(e^{-2\phi}({\rm d}H)_{lade}\right) \qquad (1.6) \\ &\quad + 2e^{-2\phi}\eta^{bl}\eta^{cd}\Big(2\nabla_{-\ [a}\left(R_{-\ d|l]} + 2\nabla_{-\ l]}\partial_d\phi\right) + H^k_{\ al}\left(R_{-\ dk} + 2\nabla_{-\ k}\partial_d\phi\right)\Big)\Bigg] + O(\alpha'^{\ 2}) \ , \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{with } R_{-\ be} + 2\nabla_{-\ e}\partial_b\phi = E_{g\ 0,eb} + \frac{\eta_{eb}}{2}E_{\phi\ 0} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{bc}\eta_{ed}(-*)\left(\theta^c \wedge \theta^d \wedge e^{2\phi}E_{B\ 0}\right) + O(\alpha') \ , \tag{1.7}$$

where $E_{g\ 0,eb}$, $E_{\phi\ 0}$ and $E_{B\ 0}$ are the Einstein equation, the dilaton and the B-field e.o.m. at order $\alpha'^{\ 0}$, and we refer to appendix B for the other notations. Since the BI for H is of order α', the variation of the action with respect to ω_+ is given by the e.o.m. at order $\alpha'^{\ 0}$, up to terms of order $O(\alpha'^{\ 2})$. Therefore, provided that the e.o.m. are satisfied order by order in α', this variation (of order α') can be consistently discarded. This is the result of a lemma worked out in [17], that we rederived in details in appendix B. This derivation allowed us to verify that this result does not depend on the dimension of the space, nor on its signature. This way, we could use it for the derivations of the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional e.o.m.

Finally, we use a particular ansatz for the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional space and fields decomposed on the $D+d_{\rm g}$ dimensions. The geometric picture of the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional space is the following

$$\begin{tikzcd} U(1)^{d_{\rm g}} \arrow[r, hook] & \tilde{D}\text{-dimensional space(-time)} \arrow[d] \\ & D\text{-dimensional space(-time)} \end{tikzcd}$$

The $d_{\rm g}$ circles are fibered over a D-dimensional base, via connection one-forms $A^{\mathfrak{a}}$. The gauge potential $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ of heterotic string will end-up being related to a connection one-form $A^{\mathfrak{a}}$, so the gauge fields are incorporated in the geometry. Such a geometric setting for an abelian gauge group is of course not surprising. Indeed, a gauge theory can be viewed geometrically as a principle bundle (a gauge bundle), where the fiber is the gauge group (here $U(1)^{d_{\rm g}}$). What is less obvious in our construction is to consider a $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory on this geometric setting, and to choose accordingly ansätze for the fields living there. For the metric on this space, it might still be straightforward to choose an ansatz, but it is not the case for the other $\tilde{D}$-dimensional fields, in particular the B-field[3]. We were inspired here by the pseudo fields of the heterotic T-duality: the "heterotic ansatz" we take for our $\tilde{D}$-dimensional fields is very close[4] to the expressions (1.2) and (1.3) of the pseudo fields. The heterotic T-duality is then very natural in this higher dimensional set-up.

The main result of this paper is that using this ansatz, we show explicitly that the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional action, e.o.m. and BI are equivalent to those of the (abelian bosonic) heterotic string effective description, at order α'. To get this result, we need to match some quantities on both sides, like the connection one-form and the gauge potential. We paid a particular attention to the α' dependences and corrections. They play an important role in discarding some terms. Finally, note that the BI are indeed the same, but we do not treat here their integrated versions, and the associated global aspects, which can be involved. For global aspects of supersymmetric solutions of the type of [18], see [19, 20].

As a supplement, we also show an equivalence between heterotic string supersymmetry (SUSY) conditions, and some $\tilde{D}$-dimensional conditions. To do so, the "heterotic ansatz" is refined to such a space

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U(1)^{d_{\rm g}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{N} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \underbrace{\mathcal{M} \quad \times \quad (D-d=4)\ \text{Minkowski}}_{D\text{-dimensional space-time}} \end{array}$$

where the $(d+d_{\rm g})$-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{N}$ is equipped with appropriate almost hermitian structures. With $J_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\Omega_{\mathcal{N}}$ respectively the fundamental two-form and the maximally $(\frac{d+d_{\rm g}}{2},0)$-form on $\mathcal{N}$, we then propose to consider the following conditions

---

[3] The dependence of the B-field on the gauge potential will play a crucial role. See footnote 12 of section 3.

[4] One difference is that we do not use the Cartan matrix, because we focus here on the abelian case.

$$\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\tilde{\phi}}\Omega_{\mathcal{N}}) = 0 \tag{1.8}$$

$$\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\tilde{\phi}} J_{\mathcal{N}}^{\frac{d+d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}-1}) = 0 \tag{1.9}$$

$$i(\partial - \overline{\partial}) J_{\mathcal{N}} = \tilde{H} \ . \tag{1.10}$$

These are $\tilde{D}$-dimensional geometric conditions similar to the standard heterotic string SUSY conditions [21, 22]. Therefore, we name them for convenience the "$\tilde{D}$-dimensional SUSY conditions", even if we do not consider any SUSY transformation on the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory (SUSY is rather unlikely to be realised in this theory, as further discussed in section 4). We show the equivalence of this set of conditions and the heterotic string SUSY conditions, including in particular the hermitian Yang-Mills condition on the gauge fields.

Note that in this paper, we mean by equivalence the fact that one set of equations can be rewritten into the other, if the ansatz is plugged-in. It is, in a sense, a computational result. In section 7, we come back to the question of whether one can consider this rewriting as a proper dimensional reduction (this is not what we do here). In particular, some additional modes could a priori be considered in such a reduction, and discarding them could correspond to imposing the chirality of heterotic string. From now on, we only mean by equivalence the result of the rewriting, and leave for future work the possibility of understanding it as a dimensional reduction.

Given this equivalence, we study some important consequences for heterotic string solutions. From the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional point of view, the $U(1)^{d_{\mathrm{g}}}$ circles of the "heterotic ansatz" are not special. In particular, if the D-dimensional space would also contain some circles, one could not distinguish them from the others. It is the "projection" to heterotic string which forces us to separate the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional space into a d_{g}-dimensional part, which we claim to give the gauge fields, and a D-dimensional part which is said to be the real space-time. Therefore, going to heterotic string, one needs to distinguish which circle becomes geometric and which one gives a gauge field. As a consequence, by simply exchanging directions in the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory, one can end-up with very different set-ups in heterotic string. Put differently, two different solutions of heterotic string could be related trivially in the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory by simply exchanging some directions.

We illustrate this idea with known supersymmetric solutions [23, 24, 18, 19, 25]. The first solution has some non-trivial abelian gauge field, but its ten-dimensional space-time is given by four-dimensional Minkowski times the Kähler manifold $T^2 \times K3$. The second solution on the contrary does not have any gauge field, but lives on four-dimensional Minkowski times a non-trivial fibration of T^2 over $K3$, which is a non-Kähler manifold. These two solutions have been related in various manners in the literature [26, 27, 28, 20, 29, 30]. As explained here, these two solutions are trivially related in our $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory by a simple exchange of two circle directions. We also conjecture the existence of a non-supersymmetric solution which would be non-Kähler and have non-trivial gauge field. Such a solution would be the same as the others from the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional point of view, up to the exchange of only one circle.

Finally, we come back to the heterotic T-duality. Its formulation in terms of the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory and the "heterotic ansatz" is now very natural. We show that the exchange of directions just mentioned can be encoded in a particular heterotic T-duality, not given by the Buscher rules [31]. We also study Buscher T-dualities. In particular, T-dualising along the $A^{\mathfrak{a}}$ one-form direction could lead to new non-geometric solutions. We also discuss what happens when T-dualising along the fiber, and compare the behavior of the heterotic solutions with those of type II SUGRA. This leads us to some comments on a possible GCG set-up in heterotic string, building-up on a related discussion in [29].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give the action of the (bosonic) heterotic string effective description at order α', its e.o.m. and BI. Related conventions on forms and Riemannian geometry are given in appendix A. The derivation of the heterotic string e.o.m., with particular emphasis on the proof of the lemma of [17] on ω_+, is given in appendix B. In section 3, we first introduce the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory with its action, e.o.m. and BI. Then we detail the "heterotic ansatz". Using it, we prove the equivalence with the (abelian bosonic) heterotic string effective description at order α', as far as the action, e.o.m. and BI are concerned. The technical details are given in appendix C. We end the section with comments on an extension to the non-abelian case. The "$\tilde{D}$-dimensional SUSY conditions", and their equivalence with the heterotic ones, are discussed in section 4. In section 5, we detail and illustrate the relations just discussed between Kähler and non-Kähler heterotic solutions. We come back to T-duality in great details in section 6, and use it for the various applications just mentioned. We conclude this paper with some remarks in section 7.

Finally, let us mention in a footnote[5] few similarities with existing papers.

2 Heterotic string effective description in ten dimensions

In this section we briefly review the (bosonic) heterotic string effective description in ten dimensions at order α'. The bosonic massless spectrum of the ten-dimensional heterotic string is given by the metric g_{MN}, the B-field B_{MN}, the dilaton ϕ, and the Yang-Mills gauge potential $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}_M$, where M, N stand for space-time indices and $\mathfrak{a}$ is a color index.

The associated gauge group G has generators $t_{\mathfrak{a}}$ in the algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, with $\mathfrak{a} = 1 \dots \dim \mathfrak{g}$. $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}_M \mathrm{d}x^M$ is a space-time one-form, where $\{\mathrm{d}x^M\}$ is a generic space-time one-form[6] basis, and the full gauge potential is denoted $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}} t_{\mathfrak{a}}$. One can get the field strength for $\mathcal{A}$ by acting with the gauge covariant derivative

$$\mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{a}} t_{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathcal{F} = (\mathrm{d} + \mathcal{A}\wedge)\mathcal{A}\ ,\ \ \mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathrm{d}\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}} + \frac{1}{2} f^{\mathfrak{a}}{}_{\mathfrak{bc}} \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{b}} \wedge \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{c}}\ , \qquad (2.1)$$

where d is the exterior derivative, and $[t_{\mathfrak{b}}, t_{\mathfrak{c}}] = f^{\mathfrak{a}}{}_{\mathfrak{bc}} t_{\mathfrak{a}}$ defines the structure constants[7]. We introduce the trace tr for the generators. When $G = SO(32)$, one should consider the fundamental representation, and so the trace tr is the corresponding one. No such representation exists for $G = E_8 \times E_8$, which has to be rather considered in the adjoint representation. In that case, one can replace tr by $\frac{1}{30}\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{ad}}$ where $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{ad}}$ is the trace in the adjoint representation; indeed for the subgroup $SO(16) \times SO(16)$, these two are equal.

The B-field can be written in terms of a two-form B. At order $\alpha'^{\ 0}$, acting on it with the exterior derivative gives the associated H-flux. At order α', the definition of H is corrected as

$$H = \mathrm{d}B + \frac{\alpha'}{4}\left(\mathrm{CS}(\omega_+) - \mathrm{CS}(\mathcal{A})\right) + O(\alpha'^{\ 2})\ , \qquad (2.2)$$

where $\omega^{a}_{+\ bM} = \omega^{a}{}_{bM} + \frac{1}{2} H^{a}{}_{bM}$ is the M-coefficient of the connection[8] one-form $\omega^{a}_{+\ b}$ (A.26). The local frame indices are denoted $a, b, \dots$, and $\omega^{a}{}_{b}$ is the connection one-form associated to Levi-Civita. The curvature two-forms associated to $\omega^{a}{}_{b}$, respectively $\omega^{a}_{+\ b}$, are denoted $R^{a}{}_{b}$, respectively $R^{a}_{+\ b}$. The curvature two-forms can be obtained as in (A.19). The two CS in (2.2) denote the Chern-Simons terms:

---

[5] Technically, the reduction from $\tilde{D}$ to D dimensions of the NSNS action at order $\alpha'^{\ 0}$ has been done in [15]. Nevertheless, the purpose of the reduction done there was different; in particular the various components of the fields (in particular the off-diagonal component of the B-field) were not fully specified as we do, and so the heterotic effective action was not recognized after the reduction. In our analysis, not only we specify the fields so that we eventually recognize the heterotic effective action, but we do so at order α'.

During the completion of this project appeared the paper [30]. There, it is mentioned that the heterotic equations of motion in nine dimensions at order $\alpha'^{\ 0}$ with only one gauge potential are equivalent to the NSNS e.o.m. in ten dimensions, provided one takes for the NSNS fields a similar ansatz as the one we took. On this question of the e.o.m., our analysis goes further. Indeed, we consider theories at order α', with several abelian gauge potentials, and we work out the equivalence of the e.o.m. in any dimension.

[6] See appendix A.1 for our conventions on forms.

[7] Note that for a (semi-) simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ in the adjoint representation, these conventions correspond to choose anti-hermitian generators.

[8] See appendices A.3 and A.4 for our conventions on covariant derivatives and connections.

$$\mathrm{CS}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{tr}(\mathcal{F}\wedge\mathcal{A} - \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{A}\wedge\mathcal{A}\wedge\mathcal{A}) \ , \ \mathrm{CS}(\omega_+) = -\left(R^a_{+\ b}\wedge\omega^b_{+\ a} - \frac{1}{3}\omega^a_{+\ b}\wedge\omega^b_{+\ c}\wedge\omega^c_{+\ a}\right) \ . \tag{2.3}$$

As a convention, the trace on the local frame indices will always bring a minus sign as in the definition of $\mathrm{CS}(\omega_+)$. Acting with the exterior derivative on the CS terms, one gets, using the cyclicity of the trace,

$$\mathrm{dCS}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{tr}(\mathcal{F}\wedge\mathcal{F}) \ , \ \mathrm{dCS}(\omega_+) = \mathrm{tr}(R_+\wedge R_+) = -R^a_{+\ b}\wedge R^b_{+\ a} \ . \tag{2.4}$$

Therefore, if $\mathrm{d}B$ is globally defined, the Bianchi identity (BI) of the H-flux is

$$\mathrm{d}H = \frac{\alpha'}{4}\left(\mathrm{tr}(R_+\wedge R_+) - \mathrm{tr}(\mathcal{F}\wedge\mathcal{F})\right) + O(\alpha'^{\ 2}) \ . \tag{2.5}$$

In presence of an $NS5$-brane, the BI gets an additional source term (see for instance [32] for a derivation). Note that this term is also of order α', and so is always $\mathrm{d}H$. From now on, we will not consider any $NS5$-brane.

For two k-forms A and B in a D-dimensional space-time we introduce the notation

$$A\cdot B = B\cdot A = \frac{A_{m_1\ldots m_k}B^{m_1\ldots m_k}}{k!} \quad , \quad A\wedge *_D B = B\wedge *_D A = \mathrm{d}^D x\ \sqrt{|g|}\ A\cdot B \ , \tag{2.6}$$

where $*_D$ denotes the Hodge star (A.5) in the D-dimensional space-time, and $|g|$ is (the absolute value of) the determinant of the metric. In addition, for $A = B$, we denote $A\cdot A = |A|^2$. Then, the bosonic part of the heterotic string effective action at order α' is given by

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int \mathrm{d}^{10}x\sqrt{|g|}e^{-2\phi}\left[R + 4|\mathrm{d}\phi|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|H|^2 + \frac{\alpha'}{4}(\mathrm{tr}(R_+^2) - \mathrm{tr}(\mathcal{F}^2)) + O(\alpha'^{\ 2})\right] \ , \tag{2.7}$$

where $2\kappa^2 = (2\pi)^7(\alpha')^4$, $\alpha' = l_s^2$, and

$$\mathrm{tr}(\mathcal{F}^2) = \mathrm{tr}(t_{\mathfrak{a}}t_{\mathfrak{b}})\ \mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{a}}\cdot\mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{b}} \quad , \quad \mathrm{tr}(R_+^2) = -R^a_{+\ b}\cdot R^b_{+\ a} = \frac{1}{2}R_{+\ abMN}R_+^{abMN} \ . \tag{2.8}$$

The conventional minus sign of the last trace is taken so that it disappears in the last equality, thanks to the antisymmetry property[9] of the Riemann tensor.

Deriving equations of motion (e.o.m.) at order α' out of this action turns out to be rather involved. The derivation is complicated by the fact ω_+ depends on the metric, the B-field, and the gauge potential. Fortunately, it turns that the variation of the action with respect to ω_+, which is of order α', is related to the e.o.m. at order $\alpha'^{\ 0}$. Indeed, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{2\kappa^2}{\sqrt{|g|}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta\omega^a_{+\ bc}} \ = \ -\frac{\alpha'}{4}\Big[&(-*)\left(\theta^c\wedge\omega^b_{+\ a}\wedge E_{B\ 0}\right) + 4\eta^{bl}\eta^{cd}\eta^{fe}\ \nabla_{+,-\ f}\left(e^{-2\phi}(\mathrm{d}H)_{lade}\right) \\ &+2e^{-2\phi}\eta^{bl}\eta^{cd}\Big(2\nabla_{-\ [a}\left(R_{-\ d|l]} + 2\nabla_{-\ l]}\partial_d\phi\right) + H^k_{\ al}\left(R_{-\ dk} + 2\nabla_{-\ k}\partial_d\phi\right)\Big)\Big] + O(\alpha'^{\ 2}) \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

$$\text{with } R_{-\ be} + 2\nabla_{-\ e}\partial_b\phi \ = \ E_{g\ 0,eb} + \frac{\eta_{eb}}{2}E_{\phi\ 0} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{bc}\eta_{ed}(-*)\left(\theta^c\wedge\theta^d\wedge e^{2\phi}E_{B\ 0}\right) + O(\alpha') \ , \tag{2.10}$$

where $E_{g\ 0,eb}$, $E_{\phi\ 0}$ and $E_{B\ 0}$ are the Einstein equation, the dilaton and the B-field e.o.m. at order $\alpha'^{\ 0}$. Since the BI for H is of order α', the variation of the action with respect to ω_+ is indeed given by the e.o.m. at order $\alpha'^{\,0}$, up to terms of order $O(\alpha'^{\,2})$. Therefore, provided these e.o.m. are satisfied, one can discard the variation with respect to ω_+. This is the result of a lemma proven in [17], that we rederive in details in appendix B (see in particular (B.14)). We also derive in this appendix the whole set of e.o.m. at order α'. The result is the following: provided the e.o.m. are satisfied order by order in α', they can be written as

---

[9] This property is discussed in appendix A.4. Note also that one could take all indices to be either space-time or local frame in the last term of (2.8), so that the Kretschmann scalar with respect to ω_+ appears.

$$\begin{aligned}
R - \frac{1}{2}|H|^2 + 4(\nabla^2\phi - |\mathrm{d}\phi|^2) + \frac{\alpha'}{4}(\mathrm{tr}(R_+^2) - \mathrm{tr}(\mathcal{F}^2)) &= 0 + O(\alpha'^{\,2}) \quad (2.11)\\
R_{MN} - \frac{1}{2}\,\iota_M H\cdot\iota_N H + 2\nabla_M\nabla_N\phi + \frac{\alpha'}{4}(\mathrm{tr}(\iota_M R_+\cdot\iota_N R_+) - \mathrm{tr}(\iota_M\mathcal{F}\cdot\iota_N\mathcal{F})) &= 0 + O(\alpha'^{\,2}) \quad (2.12)\\
\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi} * H) &= 0 + O(\alpha'^{\,2}) \quad (2.13)\\
e^{2\phi}\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi} * \mathcal{F}) + \mathcal{A}\wedge *\mathcal{F} - *\mathcal{F}\wedge\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{F}\wedge *H &= 0 + O(\alpha') \ , \quad (2.14)
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equation one should only consider the order $\alpha'^{\,0}$ in H. We introduced for a k-form A the notation $\iota_M A = \iota_{\mathrm{d}x^M} A$ out of (A.3).

3 Equivalence with a higher dimensional theory

In this section, we first introduce a $\tilde{D}$-dimensional bosonic theory, and derive its equations of motion and Bianchi identity. Then, choosing some particular ansatz for the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional space, and accordingly for the fields of this theory, we show that this action, e.o.m. and BI, are equivalent to the abelian heterotic string effective action (2.7) (considered in a space of arbitrary dimension), e.o.m., and BI, at order α'. We end the section with a few comments on a generalization to the non-abelian case.

We recall from the comments below (1.10) that we do not mean by equivalence performing a proper dimensional reduction, but rather obtaining various known equations by rewriting others, using an ansatz.

3.1 A higher dimensional theory

We consider the following action in a $\tilde{D}$-dimensional space (the properties of this space, in particular its signature, or the parity of $\tilde{D}$, do not need to be specified in this section)

$$\tilde{S} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{\tilde{D}}^2}\int \mathrm{d}^{\tilde{D}}x\sqrt{|\tilde{g}|}e^{-2\tilde{\phi}}\left[\tilde{R} + 4|\mathrm{d}\tilde{\phi}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{H}|^2 + \frac{\alpha'}{4}\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{R}_+^2)\right] \ , \quad (3.1)$$

where $\kappa_{\tilde{D}}$ is a constant with the appropriate dimensionality, that is going to be fixed. Similarly to the heterotic string, the fields to be considered in this theory are the metric $\tilde{g}$, the dilaton $\tilde{\phi}$, and the two-form B-field $\tilde{B}$. The H-flux is defined as

$$\tilde{H} = \mathrm{d}\tilde{B} + \frac{\alpha'}{4}\mathrm{CS}(\tilde{\omega}_+) \ , \quad (3.2)$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_+$ is defined with $\tilde{\omega}^{\tilde{a}}_{+\ \tilde{b}\tilde{M}} = \tilde{\omega}^{\tilde{a}}_{\ \tilde{b}\tilde{M}} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{H}^{\tilde{a}}_{\ \tilde{b}\tilde{M}}$, and $\tilde{M}$, respectively $\tilde{a}$, denote $\tilde{D}$-dimensional space, respectively local frame, indices. $\tilde{\omega}^{\tilde{a}}_{\ \tilde{b}\tilde{M}}\mathrm{d}x^{\tilde{M}}$ is the standard connection one-form associated to Levi-Civita. Associated curvature two-forms $\tilde{R}^{\tilde{a}}_{\ \tilde{b}}$ and $\tilde{R}^{\tilde{a}}_{+\ \tilde{b}}$ are also defined similarly to the heterotic string, and so is the $\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{R}_+^2)$. Provided $\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}$ is globally defined, we get the following Bianchi identity for $\tilde{H}$,

$$\mathrm{d}\tilde{H} = \frac{\alpha'}{4}\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{R}_+\wedge\tilde{R}_+) \ . \quad (3.3)$$

This action and the fields considered are clearly similar to the heterotic string effective description at order α', discussed in the previous section, with the differences that the dimension is here $\tilde{D}$, and that there is no gauge field. As a consequence, one can follow the same procedure to derive the e.o.m. from this action. As emphasized in appendix B, the derivation of these e.o.m. at order α', even if rather involved, does not depend on the properties of the space, in particular not on its dimension, nor on the signature of this space. Therefore, one finally obtains the same result, simply without any gauge field:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{R} - \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{H}|^2 + 4(\tilde{\nabla}^2\tilde{\phi} - |\mathrm{d}\tilde{\phi}|^2) + \frac{\alpha'}{4}\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{R}_+^2) &= 0 && (3.4)\\
\tilde{R}_{\tilde{M}\tilde{N}} - \frac{1}{2}\,\iota_{\tilde{M}}\tilde{H}\cdot\iota_{\tilde{N}}\tilde{H} + 2\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{M}}\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{N}}\tilde{\phi} + \frac{\alpha'}{4}\mathrm{tr}(\iota_{\tilde{M}}\tilde{R}_+\cdot\iota_{\tilde{N}}\tilde{R}_+) &= 0 + O(\alpha'^{\ 2}) && (3.5)\\
\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\tilde{\phi}} *_{\tilde{D}} \tilde{H}) &= 0 + O(\alpha'^{\ 2})\ . && (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Given we do not consider terms of order $O(\alpha'^{\ 2})$ in the action (3.1), the derived dilaton e.o.m. (3.4) is valid at all orders. On the contrary, for the other two equations, we have to follow the same reasoning as for the heterotic string e.o.m. which involves the variation with respect to $\tilde{\omega}_+$. This leads to corrections at order $\alpha'^{\ 2}$.

3.2 The "heterotic ansatz"

We are now going to take an ansatz for our $\tilde{D}$-dimensional space, and accordingly for the fields of the theory. The $\tilde{D}$-dimensional action, e.o.m. and BI, will then simply reduce to those of the abelian heterotic string effective description at order α', provided we match some quantities. As discussed in the Introduction, this ansatz is motivated by the heterotic T-duality.

The ansatz consists first in splitting the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional space into two parts of dimensions denoted D and d_{g}: $\tilde{D} = D + d_{\mathrm{g}}$. The D-dimensional part will correspond eventually to the ten-dimensional space-time of heterotic string ($D = 10$). But for sake of generality, we keep a generic D all along, and do not specify the properties of this space. The d_{g}-dimensional part will correspond in the end to the fiber of the gauge bundle, hence the g index. Similarly, we do not specify the parity of d_{g} or the signature of this space.

Nevertheless, the d_{g}-dimensional space is further restricted: we take it to be $U(1)^{d_{\mathrm{g}}}$, i.e. it is made of d_{g} commuting circles, fibered other the D-dimensional part.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U(1)^{d_{\mathrm{g}}} \arrow[r, hook] & \tilde{D}\text{-dimensional space(-time)} \arrow[d] \\
 & D\text{-dimensional space(-time)}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The directions of the circles are locally given by the real one-forms $\mathrm{d}x^{\mathfrak{a}}$; we denote the indices of this space with $\mathfrak{a}$, since it will correspond eventually to the fiber of the gauge bundle. The fibrations are encoded in connections $A^{\mathfrak{a}}$, which are locally defined one-forms, living on the D-dimensional space. $\mathrm{d}x^{\mathfrak{a}} + A^{\mathfrak{a}}$ are then the well-defined one-forms on the total $\tilde{D}$-dimensional space. We label the D-dimensional indices as M, N. If we take for this part of the space a generic metric and basis of one-forms so that $\mathrm{d}s^2_D = g_{MN}\mathrm{d}x^M\mathrm{d}x^N$, then the total metric is given by

$$\mathrm{d}s^2_{\tilde{D}} = g_{MN}\mathrm{d}x^M\mathrm{d}x^N + g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}(\mathrm{d}x^{\mathfrak{a}} + A^{\mathfrak{a}})(\mathrm{d}x^{\mathfrak{b}} + A^{\mathfrak{b}})\ . \tag{3.7}$$

As a further choice in this ansatz, we will consider from now on the metric $g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}$ to be constant. As we will see eventually, this is a good choice to recover the abelian heterotic string effective description at order α'.

For later convenience, we introduce the two following basis of one-forms on the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional space-time

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_1 &= (\{\mathrm{d}x^M\}, \{\mathrm{d}x^{\mathfrak{a}}\})\ ,\\
\mathcal{B}_2 &= (\{\mathrm{d}x^M\}, \{\mathrm{d}x^{\mathfrak{a}} + A^{\mathfrak{a}}\})\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

The basis $\mathcal{B}_2$ is, up to constant linear transformations, the vielbein basis (local frame), at least as far as the fiber $U(1)^{d_{\rm g}}$ is concerned. The metric is block-diagonal in this basis, and Hodge star computations are then simpler. On the contrary, computations of the Levi-Civita connection need to be done in the basis $\mathcal{B}_1$, which is a coordinate basis.

Let us now give our ansatz for the other fields on this $\tilde{D}$-dimensional space. No field will depend on the $U(1)^{d_{\rm g}}$ coordinates. In particular, the dilaton $\tilde{\phi}$ is taken to depend only on the D-dimensional coordinates, so for simplicity we identify it with the D-dimensional dilaton up to a constant φ: $\tilde{\phi} = \phi + \varphi$. Finally, the B-field has various components on the different parts of the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional space. We express it in the basis $\mathcal{B}_1$ as

$$\tilde{B} = B + B_g + c g_{\mathfrak{ab}}\ A^{\mathfrak{a}} \wedge {\rm d}x^{\mathfrak{b}} \ , \tag{3.9}$$

where B is the D-dimensional B-field, B_g is a closed two-form with components along the fiber directions ${\rm d}x^{\mathfrak{a}}$ only, and the last term is a mixed base-fiber component. c is a constant to be fixed.

Note that this ansatz for the metric and the B-field does correspond to the pseudo fields (1.2) and (1.3) proposed to be considered for heterotic T-duality. We will come back to this relation in section 6.

Since no field depends on the circles coordinates $x^{\mathfrak{a}}$, the exterior derivative d on the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional space can be written in the same way as the D-dimensional one. So the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional H-flux, defined as in (3.2), becomes

$$\tilde{H} = {\rm d}B + c g_{\mathfrak{ab}}\ {\rm d}A^{\mathfrak{a}} \wedge {\rm d}x^{\mathfrak{b}} + \frac{\alpha'}{4}{\rm CS}(\tilde{\omega}_+) \ . \tag{3.10}$$

Let us define the following forms

$$H = {\rm d}B - c g_{\mathfrak{ab}}\ {\rm d}A^{\mathfrak{a}} \wedge A^{\mathfrak{b}} + \frac{\alpha'}{4}{\rm CS}(\omega_+) \ , \tag{3.11}$$

$$F^{\mathfrak{a}} = {\rm d}A^{\mathfrak{a}} \ , \tag{3.12}$$

where ω_+ is the connection associated to the D-dimensional space alone, with metric ${\rm d}s^2_D$, and the H entering its definition being the form just defined. The form H introduced will then eventually correspond to the heterotic string H-flux at order α'. Then we can rewrite the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional H-flux as

$$\tilde{H} = H + c g_{\mathfrak{ab}}\ F^{\mathfrak{a}} \wedge ({\rm d}x^{\mathfrak{b}} + A^{\mathfrak{b}}) + \frac{\alpha'}{4}\left({\rm CS}(\tilde{\omega}_+) - {\rm CS}(\omega_+)\right) \ . \tag{3.13}$$

3.3 The equivalence

We are now going to plug the ansatz just discussed into the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory, and show the equivalence with the abelian heterotic string effective description at order α' (see comments below (1.10)). But we need at first to carefully focus on the α' dependence, and discuss what terms can be discarded at order α'. Then, we present the main results of the rewriting of the action, the e.o.m. and the BI, once the "heterotic ansatz" is taken into account. We leave the technical details to appendix C. Finally, we match the quantities of both theories to show the equivalence.

3.3.1 The α' dependence

In order to recover the heterotic string effective description at order α', we have to discuss the dependence of our ansatz in α'. A crucial point is the relation between the connection $A^{\mathfrak{a}}$ and the heterotic gauge potential $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}$, which will be established precisely in section 3.3.3: it will involve some α' dependence. The reason is dimension wise. As one can figure out from the heterotic string effective action, the gauge potential $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}_M$ has the dimension of an inverse length, provided the generators $t_{\mathfrak{a}}$ are dimensionless. On the other hand, the connection one-form $A^{\mathfrak{a}}$ has the dimension of a length, so $A^{\mathfrak{a}}_M$ is dimensionless. Therefore, up to dimensionless coefficients, the two objects will be identified with an $\sqrt{\alpha'}$ factor: $A^{\mathfrak{a}}_M \sim \sqrt{\alpha'}\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}_M$. Let us discuss the consequences.

We first consider the Riemann tensor $\tilde{R}^{\tilde{M}}{}_{\tilde{N}\tilde{P}\tilde{Q}}$ in the basis $\mathcal{B}_1$. It is given only in terms of connection coefficients $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\tilde{M}}_{\tilde{N}\tilde{P}}$ and derivatives of them. As computed in appendix C, these coefficients only differ from the purely D-dimensional connection coefficients (those related to g_{MN}) by terms depending on $A^{\mathfrak{a}}_M$. So $\tilde{R}^{\tilde{M}}{}_{\tilde{N}\tilde{P}\tilde{Q}}$ only differs from its purely D-dimensional counterpart by dependences on $A^{\mathfrak{a}}_M$. The same goes for the spin connection $\tilde{\omega}^{\tilde{a}}{}_{\tilde{b}}$ with respect to $\omega^a{}_b$. Indeed, one can compare $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\tilde{a}}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}}$ and Γ^a_{bc} by looking at the definition[10] (A.15). Let us now consider $\tilde{\omega}^{\tilde{a}}_{+\ \tilde{b}}$: the difference with $\tilde{\omega}^{\tilde{a}}{}_{\tilde{b}}$ is given by $\tilde{H}$, which differs from H either by a $A^{\mathfrak{a}}_M$ dependent term, or by an α' order term. So $\tilde{\omega}^{\tilde{a}}_{+\ \tilde{b}}$ also differs from $\omega^a_{+\ b}$ by terms depending on $A^{\mathfrak{a}}_M$ or by terms of order α'. The same goes for the Riemann tensor $\tilde{R}^{\tilde{M}}_{+\ \tilde{N}\tilde{P}\tilde{Q}}$ with respect to $R^M_{+\ NPQ}$, as can be seen either from (A.19) or from (A.29). We conclude that with the identification $A^{\mathfrak{a}}_M \sim \sqrt{\alpha'}\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}}_M$, we will get that

$$\frac{\alpha'}{4}\left(\mathrm{CS}(\tilde{\omega}_+) - \mathrm{CS}(\omega_+)\right) = 0 + O(\alpha'^{\ \frac{3}{2}}) \ , \tag{3.14}$$

$$\frac{\alpha'}{4}\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{R}_+^2) = \frac{\alpha'}{4}\mathrm{tr}(R_+^2) + O(\alpha'^{\ \frac{3}{2}}) \ . \tag{3.15}$$

So from now on, we will consider

$$\tilde{H} = H + c g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}\ F^{\mathfrak{a}} \wedge (\mathrm{d}x^{\mathfrak{b}} + A^{\mathfrak{b}}) + O(\alpha'^{\ \frac{3}{2}}) \ . \tag{3.16}$$

Consistently with (3.15), one can show in the basis $\mathcal{B}_1$ that $\iota_{\mathfrak{a}}\tilde{R}_+ = O(\alpha'^{\ \frac{1}{2}})$. Indeed, such a contraction involves either a connection coefficient with an index $\mathfrak{a}$, or an off-diagonal component of the metric $\tilde{g}$ (the derivatives with respect to $x^{\mathfrak{a}}$ do not contribute since they are zero). According to appendix C, both objects depend on $A^{\mathfrak{a}}_M$, so we deduce the result. Therefore, we will get in the Einstein equation (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha'}{4}\mathrm{tr}(\iota_{\tilde{M}}\tilde{R}_+ \cdot \iota_{\tilde{N}}\tilde{R}_+) &= \frac{\alpha'}{4}\delta^M_{\tilde{M}}\delta^N_{\tilde{N}}\mathrm{tr}(\iota_M\tilde{R}_+ \cdot \iota_N\tilde{R}_+) + O(\alpha'^{\ \frac{3}{2}}) \\ &= \frac{\alpha'}{4}\delta^M_{\tilde{M}}\delta^N_{\tilde{N}}\mathrm{tr}(\iota_M R_+ \cdot \iota_N R_+) + O(\alpha'^{\ \frac{3}{2}}) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Let us now use these results to rewrite the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional action, e.o.m. and BI, at order α'.

3.3.2 Let's play

We rewrite the action, the e.o.m. and the BI of the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory at order α', taking into account the "heterotic ansatz", and the discussion on the α' dependence. The computations are detailed in appendix C. Note that the Levi-Civita connection has been used both in $\tilde{D}$ and D dimensions. An important result of these computations is the following:

$$\tilde{R} = R - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}F^{\mathfrak{a}}_{MN}F^{\mathfrak{b}\ MN} \ , \ |\tilde{H}|^2 = |H|^2 + \frac{c^2}{2}g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}F^{\mathfrak{a}}_{MN}F^{\mathfrak{b}\ MN} + O(\alpha'^{\ \frac{3}{2}}) \ . \tag{3.18}$$

Let us now go through the various rewriting.

---

[10] The first term in (A.15) is related to the derivative of a vielbein. The metric $g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}$ being constant, the only non-trivial terms obtained from the derivative of this vielbein are either given by the derivative of the purely D-dimensional vielbein or by terms depending on $A^{\mathfrak{a}}_M$. The study of the second term in (A.15) is more involved: it is given by $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\tilde{M}}_{\tilde{N}\tilde{P}}\tilde{e}_{\tilde{c}}{}^{\tilde{P}}$. The term $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\tilde{M}}_{\tilde{N}P}\tilde{e}_{\tilde{c}}{}^{P}$ only differs from its D-dimensional counterpart by terms depending on $A^{\mathfrak{a}}_M$, because both $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\tilde{M}}_{\tilde{N}P}$ and $\tilde{e}_{\tilde{c}}{}^{P}$ do. For $\tilde{P} = \mathfrak{a}$, it turns out that $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\tilde{M}}_{\tilde{N}\mathfrak{a}}$ is directly dependent on $A^{\mathfrak{a}}_M$ (see appendix C). So the second term in (A.15) also differs from its D-dimensional counterpart by $A^{\mathfrak{a}}_M$ dependent terms, and we conclude that the same goes for $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\tilde{a}}_{\tilde{b}\tilde{c}}$ with respect to Γ^a_{bc}.

- **Action and dilaton e.o.m.**

If we denote by $|g_g|$ the absolute value of the determinant of the $U(1)^{d_{\mathrm{g}}}$ metric, we can fix our constant $\kappa_{\tilde{D}}$ as in a standard dimensional reduction by choosing

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa_{\tilde{D}}^2}\int \mathrm{d}^{d_{\mathrm{g}}}x\sqrt{|g_g|}e^{-2\varphi} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_D^2}\ , \tag{3.19}$$

with $\kappa_{10} = \kappa$. Since no field depends on the $U(1)^{d_{\mathrm{g}}}$ coordinates, the action (3.1) becomes

$$\tilde{S} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_D^2}\int \mathrm{d}^D x\sqrt{|g_D|}e^{-2\phi}\left[R + 4|\mathrm{d}\phi|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|H|^2 - \frac{c^2+1}{4}g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}F^{\mathfrak{a}}_{MN}F^{\mathfrak{b}\ MN} + \frac{\alpha'}{4}\mathrm{tr}(R_+^2) + O(\alpha'^{\ \frac{3}{2}})\right]\ , \tag{3.20}$$

where we used (3.15) and (3.18). In addition, using (C.1), one can verify that $\tilde{\nabla}^2\tilde{\phi} = \nabla^2\phi$, so the dilaton e.o.m. (3.4) is equivalent to

$$R - \frac{1}{2}|H|^2 + 4(\nabla^2\phi - |\mathrm{d}\phi|^2) - \frac{c^2+1}{4}g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}F^{\mathfrak{a}}_{MN}F^{\mathfrak{b}\ MN} + \frac{\alpha'}{4}\mathrm{tr}(R_+^2) = 0 + O(\alpha'^{\ \frac{3}{2}})\ . \tag{3.21}$$

- **Einstein equation**

As discussed in appendix C, the Einstein equation in $\tilde{D}$ dimensions (3.5) is more easily decomposed in the basis $\mathcal{B}_2$, even if the quantities involved are first computed in $\mathcal{B}_1$. Eventually, the equation (3.5) is equivalent to the three following equations

$$\begin{aligned}
& R_{MN} - \frac{1}{2}\iota_M H\cdot\iota_N H + 2\nabla_M\partial_N\phi \\
& -\frac{c^2+1}{2}g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}\iota_M F^{\mathfrak{a}}\cdot\iota_N F^{\mathfrak{b}} + \frac{\alpha'}{4}\mathrm{tr}(\iota_M R_+\cdot\iota_N R_+) = 0 + O(\alpha'^{\ \frac{3}{2}}) && (3.22)\\
& \mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi}*_D F^{\mathfrak{b}}) - ce^{-2\phi}F^{\mathfrak{b}}\wedge *_D H = 0 + O(\alpha'^{\ \frac{3}{2}}) && (3.23)\\
& \frac{1-c^2}{4}g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}}g_{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{d}}F^{\mathfrak{c}}_{MN}F^{\mathfrak{d}\ MN} = 0 + O(\alpha'^{\ \frac{3}{2}})\ , && (3.24)
\end{aligned}$$

where the two diagonal blocks give the first and last equations, and the off-diagonal one gives the second equation.

- **B-field e.o.m. and H Bianchi identity**

As shown in appendix C, the B-field e.o.m. in $\tilde{D}$ dimensions (3.6) is equivalent to the following two equations

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi}*_D H) = 0 + O(\alpha'^{\ \frac{3}{2}}) && (3.25)\\
& c\mathrm{d}\left(e^{-2\phi}*_D F^{\mathfrak{a}}\right) - e^{-2\phi}F^{\mathfrak{a}}\wedge *_D H = 0 + O(\alpha'^{\ \frac{3}{2}})\ . && (3.26)
\end{aligned}$$

In addition, the Bianchi identity for $\tilde{H}$ in $\tilde{D}$ dimensions (3.3) is equivalent[11] to

$$\mathrm{d}H = \frac{\alpha'}{4}\mathrm{tr}(R_+\wedge R_+) - cg_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}F^{\mathfrak{a}}\wedge F^{\mathfrak{b}} + O(\alpha'^{\ \frac{3}{2}})\ , \tag{3.27}$$

where we used (3.14) and (3.16).

---

[11] Note also that the hypothesis of having $\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}$ globally defined is equivalent to having $\mathrm{d}B$ globally defined in view of (3.9), since it is implicit that $F^{\mathfrak{a}}$ should be well-defined.

3.3.3 Final matching

The action, e.o.m. and BI, just rewritten at order α', can correspond to the heterotic ones, provided we match some quantities. We first focus on the free constant c. Compatibility[12] in full generality of (3.23) and (3.26) imposes to fix $c^2 = 1$. In addition, comparing these equations with the abelian version of the gauge potential e.o.m. of heterotic string (2.14), leads us to choose $c = 1$. The comparison of the action, or of the flux H with the heterotic ones, leads to the same result. Therefore, (3.24) is trivially satisfied, and we are left with

$$\tilde{S} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_D^2} \int \mathrm{d}^D x \sqrt{|g_D|} e^{-2\phi} \left[R + 4|\mathrm{d}\phi|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|H|^2 + \frac{\alpha'}{4}\mathrm{tr}(R_+^2) - g_{\mathfrak{ab}} F^{\mathfrak{a}} \cdot F^{\mathfrak{b}} + O(\alpha'^{\,\frac{3}{2}}) \right] \ , \tag{3.28}$$

with the H-flux defined as

$$H = \mathrm{d}B + \frac{\alpha'}{4}\mathrm{CS}(\omega_+) - g_{\mathfrak{ab}}\ F^{\mathfrak{a}} \wedge A^{\mathfrak{b}} \ , \tag{3.29}$$

the Bianchi identity given by

$$\mathrm{d}H = \frac{\alpha'}{4}\mathrm{tr}(R_+ \wedge R_+) - g_{\mathfrak{ab}} F^{\mathfrak{a}} \wedge F^{\mathfrak{b}} + O(\alpha'^{\,\frac{3}{2}}) \ , \tag{3.30}$$

and the following set of equations

$$\begin{aligned}
R - \frac{1}{2}|H|^2 + 4(\nabla^2\phi - |\mathrm{d}\phi|^2) + \frac{\alpha'}{4}\mathrm{tr}(R_+^2) - g_{\mathfrak{ab}} F^{\mathfrak{a}} \cdot F^{\mathfrak{b}} &= 0 + O(\alpha'^{\,\frac{3}{2}}) && (3.31)\\
R_{MN} - \frac{1}{2}\iota_M H \cdot \iota_N H + 2\nabla_M \partial_N \phi + \frac{\alpha'}{4}\mathrm{tr}(\iota_M R_+ \cdot \iota_N R_+) - g_{\mathfrak{ab}} \iota_M F^{\mathfrak{a}} \cdot \iota_N F^{\mathfrak{b}} &= 0 + O(\alpha'^{\,\frac{3}{2}}) && (3.32)\\
\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi} *_D H) &= 0 + O(\alpha'^{\,\frac{3}{2}}) && (3.33)\\
e^{2\phi}\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi} *_D F^{\mathfrak{a}}) - F^{\mathfrak{a}} \wedge *_D H &= 0 + O(\alpha'^{\,\frac{3}{2}}) \ . && (3.34)
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that the theory defined in $\tilde{D}$ dimensions, together with the "heterotic ansatz" for its space and fields, is equivalent at order α' (as far as the action, the equations of motion and the Bianchi identity are concerned), to the heterotic string effective description at order α', in ten dimensions, with abelian gauge group, provided we take $D = 10$, $c = 1$ and fix

$$A^{\mathfrak{a}} = \sqrt{\alpha'}\gamma \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}} \ , \ g_{\mathfrak{ab}} = \frac{1}{4\gamma^2}\mathrm{tr}(t_{\mathfrak{a}} t_{\mathfrak{b}}) \ , \tag{3.35}$$

for γ any real constant. Note that such a $g_{\mathfrak{ab}}$ is constant, which is consistent with our ansatz; its signature did not matter for our purposes, nevertheless we discuss it in the next section.

We recall from section 3.3.1 that the scaling in $\sqrt{\alpha'}$ of the connection $A^{\mathfrak{a}}$ is in any case needed for dimensional reasons. In addition, note that the order of the correction terms does not match exactly for all the equations but the gauge flux one: we get corrections in $O(\alpha'^{\,\frac{3}{2}})$ while heterotic string effective theory has only $O(\alpha'^{\,2})$ terms. A more precise check of these terms in the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory could maybe lead to the same order. For our purposes, it does not matter since the theories are only said to match at order α'.

---

[12] It is surprising to obtain eventually twice the gauge potential e.o.m., once via the metric and once via the B-field. The dependence of the metric in the gauge potential being rather natural from the geometric construction, one may wonder whether it is really necessary to have the B-field depending on it as well. Nevertheless, this dependence turns out to be crucial at several places. For instance, it is justified by the heterotic T-duality, as discussed in the Introduction. It also turns out to be important when recovering the SUSY conditions in section 4, when relating the Kähler and non-Kähler solutions in section 5, or when applying the Buscher T-duality on them in section 6.4. This dependence is therefore a non-trivial aspect of the "heterotic ansatz".

3.4 Comments on the non-abelian case

In practice, the difference with the non-abelian case can be found in the Chern-Simons term in H, and in the gauge potential equation of motion: here we only recover the abelian versions of those. Would it be possible to extend the equivalence worked out so far to the non-abelian case? Let us point out a few difficulties of such an attempt.

From the geometric point of view (and consequently for the metric ansatz), these is no major difficulty in an extension to the non-abelian case. As discussed in the Introduction, the $U(1)^{d_{\rm g}}$ piece added to the space-time corresponds to the fiber of the gauge bundle. The non-abelian extension might then simply correspond to the non-abelian gauge group (viewed as a manifold), with the total space being a principle bundle. The non-trivial part in the "heterotic ansatz" was rather to consider a non-trivial component of the B-field along the gauge directions. In addition, this component is somehow related to the Chern-Simons term. The non-abelian generalization of this ansatz is not obvious. In particular, the non-abelian Chern-Simons term can not be obtained by the action of an exterior derivative, while it is the case for the abelian one. Note also that the A^3 term in the non-abelian Chern-Simons term would a priori be of order $\alpha'^{\,\frac{3}{2}}$ in our procedure. It should therefore be compared with the terms $O(\alpha'^{\,\frac{3}{2}})$ which were discarded.

In addition to this question of the B-field ansatz, let us discuss another difficulty related to $g_{\mathfrak{ab}}$ and $\mathrm{tr}(t_{\mathfrak{a}}t_{\mathfrak{b}})$. For compact (semi-) simple Lie groups, in particular $SO(32)$ or $E_8 \times E_8$, one can diagonalize and rescale $\mathrm{tr}(t_{\mathfrak{a}}t_{\mathfrak{b}})$ so that

$$\mathrm{tr}(t_{\mathfrak{a}}t_{\mathfrak{b}}) = \lambda \; \delta_{\mathfrak{ab}} \ . \tag{3.36}$$

Since we used anti-hermitian generators, one gets that $\lambda < 0$. In other words, for such groups, the metric $g_{\mathfrak{ab}}$ we took (3.35) would be negative-definite. However, we only focused on the abelian case, i.e. the gauge group was restricted to $G = U(1)^{d_{\rm g}}$. This is not a simple Lie group, so the result for $\mathrm{tr}(t_{\mathfrak{a}}t_{\mathfrak{b}})$ does not hold for us. Furthermore, the distinction between hermitian and anti-hermitian generators cannot be made at the level of the Lie bracket, the covariant derivative, or the Chern-Simons term, in the absence of non-abelian terms in these expressions. On the contrary, one can choose in the abelian case the desired convention for the generators. Of particular interest for the supersymmetric case that follows, it is possible to choose $\mathrm{tr}(t_{\mathfrak{a}}t_{\mathfrak{b}})$ to be positive-definite[13]. Eventually, we will take $g_{\mathfrak{ab}}$ to be the identity, following the heterotic T-duality analogy and [15].

This discussion raises another difficulty for a non-abelian gauge group: what should be chosen for $g_{\mathfrak{ab}}$? The previous relation (3.35) with $\mathrm{tr}(t_{\mathfrak{a}}t_{\mathfrak{b}})$ would lead to a negative-definite metric, so it may not suit, in particular for a supersymmetric case. A possible answer comes from the heterotic T-duality. As discussed in the Introduction, the metric $g_{\mathfrak{ab}}$ is related there to the symmetric part of the Cartan matrix of the gauge group[14]. So this matrix could help, even if the way it would appear is not clear.

4 Higher dimensional supersymmetry conditions

The search for supersymmetric (SUSY) flux solutions of ten-dimensional heterotic string has always been an important topic on the way to phenomenology. The conditions for finding such a vacuum are given by the annihilation of the supersymmetric variations of the fermions (the gravitino, dilatino and gaugino). When the ten-dimensional space-time is split into the warp product of a four-dimensional maximally symmetric space-time and a six-dimensional compact internal Riemannian manifold, the conditions for supersymmetry can be rephrased in terms of geometric quantities of the internal[15] manifold [21, 22]. We will give these SUSY conditions below. Following the spirit of the equivalence previously worked out, we propose in this section "$\tilde{D}$-dimensional SUSY conditions", which are equivalent to the internal SUSY conditions of heterotic string.

---

[13] Note that this possibility is physically consistent. Indeed, on the one hand, the supersymmetric case that follows considers a compact manifold, on which the gauge fields live. In addition, one needs to have an Euclidean signature for $g_{\mathfrak{ab}}$, in order to consider an hermitian metric on the gauge bundle. As explained, having $g_{\mathfrak{ab}}$ positive-definite is possible in the case of $U(1)^{d_{\rm g}}$. On the other hand, it is known that an effective description of heterotic string on a ten-dimensional space-time which is not Minkowski, but rather includes a compact space, usually leads to consider for the gauge group a subgroup of $SO(32)$ or $E_8 \times E_8$. The reason is that only a few modes of the full gauge group remain massless. Then, $U(1)^{d_{\rm g}}$, as part of the Cartan subgroup, is commonly admitted. To conclude, the compact manifold, and the supersymmetric need of an Euclidean $g_{\mathfrak{ab}}$, do fit well together with the consideration of $U(1)^{d_{\rm g}}$.

[14] Note this matrix does not exist in the abelian case.

The bosonic theory introduced in $\tilde{D}$ dimensions is rather unlikely to be made supersymmetric. One could add fermions to it, but restricting the highest spins to two, it is known that we would get $\tilde{D} \leq 11$. Since we would like $d_{\rm g} \geq 1$, this theory could only be SUSY for $d_{\rm g} = 1$, which is rather restrictive. Therefore, our "$\tilde{D}$-dimensional SUSY conditions" will not be given by hypothetical higher dimensional supersymmetric variations of fermions, which are unlikely to exist. Instead, we will start from higher dimensional geometric conditions. Similarly to the e.o.m., these conditions will be the natural generalization of the internal (six-dimensional) heterotic conditions, in absence of gauge potentials. In addition, we will show that they are equivalent to these six-dimensional SUSY conditions, once we use the "heterotic ansatz". Before giving these conditions, let us first introduce the necessary geometric ingredients.

4.1 Setting the stage

Our previous "heterotic ansatz" needs to be refined. The D-dimensional space (where we finally took $D = 10$) needs to be split in a simple product of four-dimensional Minkowski times a manifold $\mathcal{M}$ of dimension denoted $d = D - 4$. Eventually, $\mathcal{M}$ will correspond to the internal six-dimensional compact manifold. For now we only assume that d is even, that this manifold is Riemannian, and that it admits an almost hermitian structure. In other words, this space has Euclidean signature and one can find there an almost complex structure we denote I. The corresponding $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ indices are denoted $\mu, \overline{\mu}$, and one can find an hermitian metric, denoted $g_{\mu\overline{\nu}}$. The fundamental form J can then be defined on this space out of I and g (see appendix A.2 for our conventions). We denote a generic basis of one-forms on $\mathcal{M}$ by $({\rm d}z^{\mu}, {\rm d}\overline{z^{\nu}})$.

Let us now consider the $d_{\rm g}$-dimensional space. We assume as well that $d_{\rm g}$ is even, and that the manifold $U(1)^{d_{\rm g}}$ is Riemannian[16]. Then, this torus on its own has a complex structure I_g with $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ indices denoted $\alpha, \overline{\alpha}$, and an hermitian metric $g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}$. As discussed in appendix A.2, the relation between its own real metric and the hermitian one is given by

$$ {\rm d}s^2_{d_{\rm g}} = g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} {\rm d}x^{\mathfrak{a}} {\rm d}x^{\mathfrak{b}} = 2 g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} {\rm d}z^{\alpha} {\rm d}\overline{z^{\beta}} \ . \tag{4.1} $$

Note then that one has $\overline{g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}} = g_{\overline{\alpha}\beta}$. Let us now consider the connections $A^{\mathfrak{a}}$. For b, c real constants, if ${\rm d}z^{\alpha} = b{\rm d}x^{\mathfrak{a}} + ic{\rm d}x^{\mathfrak{b}}$, then we define the associated complex connection as $A^{\alpha} = bA^{\mathfrak{a}} + icA^{\mathfrak{b}}$, and $A^{\overline{\alpha}} = \overline{A^{\alpha}}$. More generally, we define them with the same linear transformation which takes the real coordinates to the complex basis. This way, the one-forms $Z^{\alpha} = {\rm d}z^{\alpha} + A^{\alpha}$ are well-defined on the total space.

To preserve Lorentz invariance in four dimensions, we restrict all the fields to depend only on the $\mathcal{M}$ coordinates, and furthermore the connections only live on $\mathcal{M}$. Therefore, our ansatz for the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional space now looks like

$$\begin{array}{ccl} U(1)^{d_{\rm g}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{N} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \underbrace{\mathcal{M} \quad \times \quad (D-d=4)\ \text{Minkowski}}_{D\text{-dimensional space-time}} \end{array}$$

---

[15] The four-dimensional space-time is in addition constrained to be Minkowski and the warp factor vanishes (see also [32] for a derivation of this result).

[16] This last restriction is needed in order to have an hermitian metric. See section 3.4 for a discussion on this signature.

where $\mathcal{N}$ is the total bundle made of the fibration of the torus over $\mathcal{M}$. In addition, we ask for an almost complex structure defined on $\mathcal{N}$. To get one, we consider that A^α, respectively $\overline{A^\alpha}$, are $(1,0)$-, respectively $(0,1)$-forms, on $\mathcal{M}$. This way we can talk of the $(1,0)$-form Z^α on $\mathcal{N}$. In other words, the compatibility of the two almost complex structures I and I_g is somehow required.

Given this refined ansatz for the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional space, we are now going to rewrite the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional fields using $(1,0)$- and $(0,1)$-forms. Following (A.8) and the previous definitions, the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional metric with the "heterotic ansatz" can be rewritten as

$$\mathrm{d}s^2_{\tilde{D}} = \mathrm{d}s^2_{\mathrm{Mink}} + 2g_{\mu\overline{\nu}}\mathrm{d}z^\mu \mathrm{d}\overline{z^\nu} + 2g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}\ (\mathrm{d}z^\alpha + A^\alpha)(\mathrm{d}\overline{z^\beta} + \overline{A^\beta})\ . \tag{4.2}$$

Similarly, the fundamental two-form on $\mathcal{N}$ is given by $J_{\mathcal{N}} = J + J_g$, where J is the one on $\mathcal{M}$, and

$$J_g = i\ g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}\ (\mathrm{d}z^\alpha + A^\alpha) \wedge (\mathrm{d}\overline{z^\beta} + \overline{A^\beta})\ . \tag{4.3}$$

We also introduce the maximal $(\frac{d+d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}, 0)$-form $\Omega_{\mathcal{N}}$ on $\mathcal{N}$

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{N}} = N \bigwedge_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}} (\mathrm{d}z^\alpha + A^\alpha) \wedge \Omega\ , \tag{4.4}$$

where Ω is the one defined on $\mathcal{M}$, and N is a normalization constant to be fixed with the volume (A.11). Similarly, the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional B-field and H-flux with the "heterotic ansatz" can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B} &= B + B_g + 2c\,\mathrm{Re}(g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}\ A^\alpha \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z^\beta}) && (4.5)\\ \tilde{H} &= \mathrm{d}B + 2c\,\mathrm{Re}(g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}\ \mathrm{d}A^\alpha \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z^\beta}) + \frac{\alpha'}{4}\mathrm{CS}(\tilde{\omega}_+)\ , && (4.6) \end{aligned}$$

where B is now restricted to live only on $\mathcal{M}$. As before, we define

$$H = \mathrm{d}B - 2c\,\mathrm{Re}(g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}\ \mathrm{d}A^\alpha \wedge \overline{A^\beta}) + \frac{\alpha'}{4}\mathrm{CS}(\omega_+)\ ,\ F^\alpha = \mathrm{d}A^\alpha\ ,$$

so that, using (3.14), we get

$$\tilde{H} = H + 2c\,\mathrm{Re}(g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}\ F^\alpha \wedge \overline{Z^\beta}) + O(\alpha'^{\,\frac{3}{2}})\ . \tag{4.7}$$

Let us emphasize that the forms introduced here are just a rewriting, using $(1,0)$- and $(0,1)$-forms, of the forms previously defined with the "heterotic ansatz" in section 3.2. In particular, one can check that the H just introduced corresponds to the heterotic H-flux[17], once one uses the matching formulas given in section 3.3.3.

4.2 Equivalence of the SUSY conditions

For $d = 6$, the SUSY conditions are given [21, 22] by

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi}\Omega) = 0 && (4.8)\\ &\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi}J^{\frac{d}{2}-1}) = 0 && (4.9)\\ &i(\partial - \overline{\partial})J = H && (4.10)\\ &\mathcal{F}_{\mu\overline{\nu}}J^{\mu\overline{\nu}} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{F} \wedge J^{\frac{d}{2}-1} = 0 && (4.11)\\ &\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{F}_{\overline{\mu\nu}} = 0\ , && (4.12) \end{aligned}$$

---

[17] One has $\mathrm{Re}(g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}\ \mathrm{d}A^\alpha \wedge \overline{A^\beta}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}\ \mathrm{d}A^\alpha \wedge \overline{A^\beta} + g_{\overline{\alpha}\beta}\ \mathrm{d}\overline{A^\alpha} \wedge A^\beta\right) = \frac{1}{2} g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}\ \mathrm{d}A^{\mathfrak{a}} \wedge A^{\mathfrak{b}}$, which gives (3.11).

where the power expansion is done with the wedge product. We are going to prove that the following (naturally generalized) conditions are equivalent to the previous set of conditions

$$\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\tilde{\phi}}\Omega_{\mathcal{N}}) = 0 \tag{4.13}$$

$$\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\tilde{\phi}} J_{\mathcal{N}}^{\frac{d+d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}-1}) = 0 \tag{4.14}$$

$$i(\partial-\overline{\partial})J_{\mathcal{N}} = \tilde{H}\ . \tag{4.15}$$

These are what we called the "$\tilde{D}$-dimensional SUSY conditions". The derivatives are here defined on the total space, but we write them as those on $\mathcal{M}$, since the fields are assumed to depend only on $\mathcal{M}$ coordinates.

We start with (4.13). Since A^α is $(1,0)$ on $\mathcal{M}$, then $A^\alpha \wedge \Omega = 0$, so we could write $\Omega_{\mathcal{N}} = N \bigwedge_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}} dz^\alpha \wedge \Omega$. Therefore,

$$\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\tilde{\phi}}\Omega_{\mathcal{N}}) = N e^{-2\varphi} \bigwedge_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}} \mathrm{d}z^\alpha \wedge \mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi}\Omega)\ , \tag{4.16}$$

and we get

$$\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\tilde{\phi}}\Omega_{\mathcal{N}}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi}\Omega) = 0\ . \tag{4.17}$$

Let us now consider (4.14). We have

$$J_{\mathcal{N}}^{\frac{d+d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}-1} = C^{\frac{d}{2}}_{\frac{d+d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}-1}\, J^{\frac{d}{2}} J_g^{\frac{d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}-1} + C^{\frac{d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}}_{\frac{d+d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}-1}\, J^{\frac{d}{2}-1} J_g^{\frac{d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}}\ , \tag{4.18}$$

where the Cs are the binomial coefficients. We have $\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi}J^{\frac{d}{2}}) = 0$ because ϕ depends only on $\mathcal{M}$ coordinates. Furthermore, $J^{\frac{d}{2}} \wedge \mathrm{d}(J_g^{\frac{d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}-1}) = 0$ because $\mathrm{d}(J_g^{\frac{d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}-1})$ produces a two-form on $\mathcal{M}$. Therefore, only the second term in (4.18) contributes:

$$\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\tilde{\phi}} J_{\mathcal{N}}^{\frac{d+d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}-1}) = 0 \quad\Leftrightarrow\quad \mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi} J^{\frac{d}{2}-1} J_g^{\frac{d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}}) = 0 \tag{4.19}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow\quad \mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi} J^{\frac{d}{2}-1}) J_g^{\frac{d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}} + e^{-2\phi} J^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \mathrm{d}(J_g^{\frac{d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}}) = 0\ . \tag{4.20}$$

In (4.20), there is a single component proportional to $\bigwedge_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}} \mathrm{d}z^\alpha \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z^\alpha}$ which comes out of the first term. Out of it, we deduce

$$\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi} J^{\frac{d}{2}-1}) = 0\ . \tag{4.21}$$

The second term of (4.20) then has to vanish. It is proportional to

$$J_g^{\frac{d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}-1} \wedge J^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \wedge g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}\ \left(\mathrm{d}\overline{z^\beta} \wedge \mathrm{d}A^\alpha - \mathrm{d}z^\alpha \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{A^\beta} + \mathrm{d}(A^\alpha \wedge \overline{A^\beta})\right)\ . \tag{4.22}$$

The maximal forms living purely on $U(1)^{d_{\mathrm{g}}}$ are a $(\frac{d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}, \frac{d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}-1)$- and $(\frac{d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}-1, \frac{d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2})$-form. The annihilation of these two terms leads respectively to

$$\forall \alpha, \beta\ ,\ J^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{A^\beta} = 0\ ,\ J^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \wedge \mathrm{d}A^\alpha = 0\ . \tag{4.23}$$

It implies that the whole second term of (4.20) vanishes. So finally, we get

$$\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\tilde{\phi}} J_{\mathcal{N}}^{\frac{d+d_{\mathrm{g}}}{2}-1}) = 0 \quad\Leftrightarrow\quad \left|\ \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi} J^{\frac{d}{2}-1}) = 0\ , \\ \forall \alpha\ ,\ F^{\alpha}_{\mu\overline{\nu}} J^{\mu\overline{\nu}} = F^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\mu\overline{\nu}} J^{\mu\overline{\nu}} = 0\ . \end{array}\right. \tag{4.24}$$

We finally consider (4.15). One can compute using the definitions that

$$\begin{aligned} i(\partial-\overline{\partial})J_{\mathcal{N}}-\tilde{H} &= i(\partial-\overline{\partial})J-H+O(\alpha'^{\ \frac{3}{2}}) \\ &\quad -g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}\left[\Big((c+1)\overline{\partial}\overline{A^{\beta}}+(c-1)\partial\overline{A^{\beta}}\Big)\wedge Z^{\alpha}+\Big((c+1)\partial A^{\alpha}+(c-1)\overline{\partial}A^{\alpha}\Big)\wedge\overline{Z^{\beta}}\right] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

Annihilating the whole expression and considering the only terms in $\mathrm{d}z^{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{d}\overline{z^{\beta}}$, one gets

$$(c+1)\overline{\partial}\overline{A^{\beta}}+(c-1)\partial\overline{A^{\beta}}=0\ ,\ (c+1)\partial A^{\alpha}+(c-1)\overline{\partial}A^{\alpha}=0\ .$$

The match with heterotic string worked out in section 3.3.3 lead us to choose $c=1$. We deduce here that $\forall\alpha$, A^{α} is antiholomorphic, i.e. $\partial A^{\alpha}=0$, or in other words

$$\forall\alpha,\ F^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}=F^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\mu\nu}=F^{\alpha}_{\overline{\mu\nu}}=F^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\overline{\mu\nu}}=0\ . \tag{4.26}$$

The second line of (4.25) vanishes this way. We deduce that up to order $O(\alpha'^{\ \frac{3}{2}})$ terms, one has

$$i(\partial-\overline{\partial})J_{\mathcal{N}}-\tilde{H}=0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \left|\begin{array}{l} i(\partial-\overline{\partial})J-H=0\ , \\ \forall\alpha\ ,\ F^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}=F^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\mu\nu}=F^{\alpha}_{\overline{\mu\nu}}=F^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\overline{\mu\nu}}=0\ . \end{array}\right. \tag{4.27}$$

Given the identifications to perform to recover heterotic string effective description at order α', as discussed in section 3.3.3, we conclude that the "$\tilde{D}$-dimensional SUSY conditions" (4.13), (4.14) and (4.15) are equivalent to the heterotic SUSY conditions (4.8) to (4.11) at order α', together with (4.12) which is recovered up to $O(\alpha')$ terms.

Let us make a final comment on these SUSY conditions. The heterotic SUSY conditions (4.8) to (4.10), expressed in terms of the $SU(3)$ structure forms J and Ω, were rewritten in [29] using particular polyforms, which correspond in Generalized Complex Geometry (GCG) to pure spinors (this follows similar work done for type II supergravity in [33, 34]). One can wonder if the same could be done for the conditions (4.13) to (4.15) with $J_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\Omega_{\mathcal{N}}$. If so, the corresponding polyforms could have an interesting interpretation as pure spinors on a bigger generalized tangent bundle, which would include the gauge fields. We will come back in section 6 to such a GCG approach of heterotic string effective description.

5 Solutions of heterotic string

In section 3, we showed that the equations of motion and the Bianchi identity of the (abelian bosonic) heterotic string at order α' were equivalent to those of the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory with the "heterotic ansatz". In this section, we discuss and illustrate an important consequence of this equivalence, related to the solutions of these equations.

Let us consider a solution of the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory, which does have the form of the "heterotic ansatz". It means that some directions among the $\tilde{D}$ ones are circles fibered over a base. Initially, we proposed to match these directions with the gauge ones, and the solution would then correspond to a heterotic string solution with non-trivial gauge fields. However, from the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional point of view, everything is geometric, and there is nothing special about these circles, so we could as well consider them as a part of what becomes the space-time of heterotic string. In other words, one could also understand this solution as a solution of heterotic string without gauge field, but with a space-time partly made of circles fibered over some base. The two heterotic string solutions are just related by an exchange of directions in the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory. Finally, one could also think of a mixed solution: some of the fibered circles become geometric, and others give gauge fields. We will come back to this possibility. To conclude, one solution in the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory with the "heterotic ansatz" can give different solutions of heterotic string, with different geometries and gauge content. Those solutions are related by simple exchanges of directions in the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory.

We can illustrate this point explicitly with two solutions known in the literature. These solutions are supersymmetric and of the same type as those discussed in section 4: the ten-dimensional space-time is split in a four-dimensional Minkowski space-time times a six-dimensional compact manifold $\mathcal{M}$. The latter is given here by a fibration of a two torus T^2 over a base $\mathcal{B}$, which is a conformal Calabi-Yau (CY) with a conformal factor related to the dilaton. The T^2 fibration is encoded in the two connections $A^{i=1,2}$ along the directions of coordinates $x^{i=1,2}$. We go to a basis where $\alpha = A^1 + iA^2$ is a $(1,0)$-form on the base. The coordinate $z = x^1 + ix^2$ is then taken as a holomorphic coordinate. For simplicity, we can further go to a basis where the real metric of the T^2 is the identity. The metric, the fundamental two-form and the $(3,0)$-form of $\mathcal{M}$ are then given by

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = e^{2\phi}\mathrm{d}s^2_{\mathcal{B}} + |\mathrm{d}z + \alpha|^2 \ , \ J = e^{2\phi}J_{\mathcal{B}} + \frac{i}{2}(\mathrm{d}z + \alpha) \wedge (\mathrm{d}\overline{z} + \overline{\alpha}) \ , \ \Omega = e^{2\phi}\omega_{\mathcal{B}} \wedge (\mathrm{d}z + \alpha) \ , \tag{5.1}$$

where $J_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\omega_{\mathcal{B}}$ are respectively the fundamental two-form and $(2,0)$-form of the Calabi-Yau $\mathcal{B}$. In addition, the dilaton is restricted to depend only on the base coordinates, and $F = \mathrm{d}\alpha$ has to satisfy

$$F \wedge J_{\mathcal{B}} = 0 \ , \ F \wedge \omega_{\mathcal{B}} = 0 \ . \tag{5.2}$$

Then, one can verify that the SUSY conditions (4.8) and (4.9) are satisfied [18].

Let us now take $\mathcal{B} = K3$, and consider two known solutions of heterotic string at order α', which are of the previous form. They both preserve $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY. For the first solution, the fibration is trivial, meaning that $\alpha = 0$, so $\mathcal{M}$ is the simple product of the T^2 and the conformal $K3$. In addition, it has non-trivial gauge fields: those are abelian and each $\mathcal{F}^\alpha$ is a $(1,1)$-form primitive on the base, so it satisfies the SUSY conditions (4.11) and (4.12). Finally, there is no B-field so H is only non-zero at order α'. The second solution [23, 24, 35] has $\alpha \neq 0$, so the fibration is non-trivial. In addition, there is no gauge field, but the B-field is non-zero[18]: $B = \mathrm{Re}(\alpha \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z}) = A^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^1 + A^2 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2$. For this last solution to be $\mathcal{N} = 2$, F is restricted to be $(1,1)$ on the base. This implies in particular the holomorphicity of α: $\partial\alpha = 0$. In both solutions, the dilaton is a priori non-trivial, and we take it to be the same for simplicity.

Let us give a few comments on these solutions. Solving the remaining SUSY condition (4.10), or similarly the H BI in absence of $NS5$ source is not trivial. In particular, the second solution (the non-Kähler one) was first discovered and studied in [23, 24] by dualities, in some limit (the orbifold limit). But its rigorous existence was proven in theorems [19, 25], which in particular study non-trivially the existence of solutions to this BI. In addition, they impose topological constraints involving the gauge bundle [36, 19]. As a consequence, there are some non-trivial topological restrictions on the choice of the base $\mathcal{B}$: the choice of $\mathcal{B} = K3$ and not T^4 in the previous solutions is crucial [18, 19, 25].

We also mention in a footnote[19] a discussion on the α' dependence in these solutions.

These two solutions have been shown in the literature to be related by various transformations. First, let us mention the "Kähler/non-Kähler transition" [26, 27]. Thanks to a chain of dualities

---

[18] One can get this expression by following the dualities used to derive this solution in [23, 24].

[19] A general (physical) criticism on these solutions is the mixture which occurs with α' order quantities; in particular some compactification cycles may end up being stringy because they get a typical size of order $\alpha'^{\,\frac{1}{2}}$ (see for instance a remark in [37]). To illustrate this, one can compute for instance $\mathrm{d}H$. Using (5.1), and (4.10), one gets

$$\mathrm{d}H = -2i\partial\overline{\partial}(e^{2\phi}) \wedge J_{\mathcal{B}} + F \wedge \overline{F} \ . \tag{5.3}$$

On the other hand, the BI (2.5) indicates that $\mathrm{d}H$ is of order α' (this remains true in the presence of a $NS5$ source). Therefore, the quantities appearing in (5.3), in particular the curvature two-form F or the connection one-forms A^i, could be of order $\alpha'^{\,\frac{1}{2}}$. Another possibility is that the quantities on the right-hand side of the BI (2.5), in particular the curvature two-forms, also have some α' dependence. In both cases, one could end up with cycles of size $\alpha'^{\,\frac{1}{2}}$, i.e. stringy.

Within the ten-dimensional theory defined at order α', such solutions are in principle allowed, and are consistent. Problems may occur when compactifying these solutions, or when considering them within the full string theory. However, we will not make such considerations here, and we only use these solutions to illustrate our $\tilde{D}$-dimensional construction.

and limits in moduli space, these two solutions can be shown to arise from M-theory compactifications on $K3 \times K3$. The transition then consists in exchanging the two $K3$, in particular their $(1,1)$-forms, which correspond in the heterotic setting either to $\mathcal{F}$ or to F. Via a duality between this M-theory setting and type IIA on $X_3 \times S^1$, with X_3 a CY three-fold, this exchange of the two $K3$ (and so the transition) could also be seen as a mirror symmetry for X_3 [28]. We can additionally mention that these two solutions were also related via a local $O(6, 6+16)$ transformation (a twist) in [29]. Finally, these solutions were related by a heterotic T-duality [20, 30], which we will come back to.

Let us now rewrite these heterotic solutions within our $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory with the "heterotic ansatz" (these vacua being by definition bosonic, their rewriting using the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory is possible). For simplicity, we use, on the $d_{\rm g}$ part of the space, a basis where $g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}$ becomes the identity (since the gauge group of these solutions is abelian, this can be done, as discussed in section 3.4). Then, the first and second solution can be rewritten respectively as

$$\mathrm{d}s^2_{\tilde{D}} = \mathrm{d}s^2_{\rm Mink} + e^{2\phi}\mathrm{d}s^2_{K3} + \sum_{i=1,2} (\mathrm{d}x^i)^2 + \sum_{\mathfrak{a}=1\dots d_{\rm g}} (\mathrm{d}x^{\mathfrak{a}} + A^{\mathfrak{a}})^2 \ , \qquad \tilde{B} = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}=1\dots d_{\rm g}} A^{\mathfrak{a}} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\mathfrak{a}} + B_g \ , \tag{5.4}$$

$$\mathrm{d}s^2_{\tilde{D}} = \mathrm{d}s^2_{\rm Mink} + e^{2\phi}\mathrm{d}s^2_{K3} + \sum_{i=1,2} (\mathrm{d}x^i + A^i)^2 + \sum_{\mathfrak{a}=1\dots d_{\rm g}} (\mathrm{d}x^{\mathfrak{a}})^2 \ , \qquad \tilde{B} = \sum_{i=1,2} A^i \wedge \mathrm{d}x^i + B_g \ . \tag{5.5}$$

This $\tilde{D}$-dimensional rewriting allows us to illustrate the point discussed at the beginning of this section. Suppose we take in the first solution (5.4) only two connections $A^{\mathfrak{a}}$ to be a priori non-zero, and equate them[20] to the A^i of the second solution (5.5). While the two solutions are very different from the ten-dimensional point of view, they are then exactly the same from the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional point of view, up to an exchange of directions.

This exchange of directions should correspond to the "Kähler/non-Kähler transition" mentioned above. In particular, exchanging our circles should match with the exchange of the $K3$ in M-theory. Nevertheless, no relation between the $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}$ and F of each solution is stated in [26, 27], while here we take them equal. In section 6.3, we will show that this exchange of directions can be encoded in a heterotic T-duality, different from the Buscher rules. Such a T-duality was used in [20], while studying the global aspects of these solutions under this transformation. The technique used in [30], mentioned to be a heterotic T-duality, should also correspond to our transformation.

It is now tempting to consider solutions with both geometric connections (so a priori non-Kähler) and gauge fields[21]. For instance, from the second solution (5.5), one could exchange only one of the T^2 directions with a circle of the gauge part. One would then get fields of the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{d}s^2_{\tilde{D}} = \mathrm{d}s^2_{\rm Mink} + e^{2\phi}\mathrm{d}s^2_{K3} + (\mathrm{d}x^{i=1})^2 + (\mathrm{d}x^{i=2} + A^{i=2})^2 + (\mathrm{d}x^{\mathfrak{a}=1} + A^{\mathfrak{a}=1})^2 + \sum_{\mathfrak{a}=2\dots d_{\rm g}} (\mathrm{d}x^{\mathfrak{a}})^2 \ , \\ &\tilde{B} = A^{i=2} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{i=2} + A^{\mathfrak{a}=1} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\mathfrak{a}=1} + B_g \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

The result is only one circle non-trivially fibered in $\mathcal{M}$, and one in the gauge part. From the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional point, it is the same solution as before, so this set of fields must still satisfy the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional e.o.m., and, thanks to the equivalence, the e.o.m. of heterotic string as well. However, it is unlikely that this solution would still satisfy the SUSY conditions, because it is not possible to have $(1,0)$ connection one-forms α and A^{α} anymore. This "third solution" would still satisfy the

---

[20] Note that having $A^{\mathfrak{a}} = A^i$ is a priori possible since $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}$ and F have the same properties: they have been restricted to be $(1,1)$-forms, primitive on the base. However, doing so brings an explicit $\alpha'^{\,\frac{1}{2}}$ dependence in the A^i, given the formula for $A^{\mathfrak{a}}$ (see sections 3.3.1 and 3.3.3). Having the one-form A^i being of order $\alpha'^{\,\frac{1}{2}}$ is actually plausible and consistent for these solutions: one can expect such a dependence by looking at the BI of H. This aspect may nevertheless lead to some critics when considering these solutions in a broader context. See a discussion on this point in footnote 19.

[21] Such solutions may have been obtained already in [30], where the solution generating technique developed leads to some non-Kähler solutions with non-zero gauge field.

Bianchi identity, but as mentioned in the Introduction, we do not discuss here its integrated version. In particular, the global aspects could differ from one solution to the other, under this exchange of directions. The study of the global aspects in [19, 20] was only done for SUSY solutions. Therefore, we conclude that this third solution (5.6) to the equations of motion remains for us only a conjectured solution to the full set of constraints.

6 T-duality and Generalized Complex Geometry for heterotic string

As mentioned in the Introduction, considering the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory together with the "heterotic ansatz" was first inspired by the T-duality transformations of heterotic string. Therefore, performing heterotic T-duality in our $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory is now very natural. This opens the perspective to introduce a Generalized Complex Geometry (GCG) approach for heterotic string, which includes naturally the gauge fields. However, we will mention a few difficulties for such a construction.

In this section, we first recall basics of T-duality and GCG. We then focus on heterotic T-duality and relate it to our $\tilde{D}$-dimensional construction. As an application, we come back to the exchange of directions relating the Kähler and non-Kähler SUSY solutions, discussed in the previous section. We show it can be encoded in a T-duality, which is not given by the Buscher rules. Finally, we discuss the results of Buscher T-dualities. One of them could lead to new non-geometric solutions, while another one leads us to a comparison with type IIB SUGRA solutions, and a discussion on a GCG approach in heterotic string.

6.1 T-duality on the NSNS sector and Generalized Complex Geometry

The T-duality group, acting on a NSNS configuration where fields are independent of d_i directions, is given by $O(d_i, d_i)$. It is often simpler, formulation wise, to embed this T-duality action in the bigger group $O(D, D)$ where D is the dimension of the whole space. The action of this bigger group can always restricted to act non-trivially only in the d_i directions. This allows us to write things in terms of the whole fields, and not only for their components on the d_i directions. We will use this formulation in the following, having in mind a possible restriction to the isometries directions.

One representation of interest of the $O(D, D)$ group is given by the set of the $2D \times 2D$ matrices O which leave the matrix η invariant, meaning, for the following matrices with $D \times D$ blocks,

$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} 0_D & 1_D \\ 1_D & 0_D \end{pmatrix} \ , \ O = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

$$O^T \eta O = \eta \quad \Leftrightarrow \left| \begin{array}{l} a^T c + c^T a = 0_D \\ b^T d + d^T b = 0_D \\ a^T d + c^T b = 1_D \end{array} \right. \tag{6.1}$$

Note that $O \in O(D, D) \Leftrightarrow O^T \in O(D, D)$. The action of this group on the NSNS fields can be encoded in different manners. For an element $\tilde{O} \in O(D, D)$, one can transform the metric and B-field by acting on the combination $E = g + B$ by the fractional linear transformation

$$E \to E' = (\tilde{a} E + \tilde{b})(\tilde{c} E + \tilde{d})^{-1} \ , \ e^{\phi'} = e^{\phi} \left(\frac{|g'|}{|g|} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \ , \tag{6.2}$$

and we also gave the transformation for the dilaton. One can recognize the new metric and B-field in E' by looking at its symmetric and antisymmetric parts. There is however a more convenient way to work out this transformation. One can consider what is called the generalized metric ($2D \times 2D$ matrix)

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} g - B g^{-1} B & B g^{-1} \\ -g^{-1} B & g^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{6.3}$$

which transforms linearly under an $O(D,D)$ element O

$$\mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathcal{H}' = O^T \mathcal{H} O \ . \tag{6.4}$$

This transformation reproduces the fractional linear transformation for $O = \tilde{O}^T$.

As an example of T-duality transformation, the $O(D,D)$ element reproducing the Buscher rules [31] is given by

$$O_T = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 0_n & & 1_n & \\ & 1_{D-n} & & 0_{D-n} \\ \hline 1_n & & 0_n & \\ & 0_{D-n} & & 1_{D-n} \end{array} \right) \ , \tag{6.5}$$

where one performs the T-duality transformation along the top n directions, $n \leq d_i$.

Generalized Complex Geometry (GCG) is a mathematical framework developed by Hitchin and Gualtieri [4] in which the $O(D,D)$ action and the generalized metric $\mathcal{H}$ are natural considerations. For a review on the use of these mathematical tools in flux compactifications, see [6]. Let us briefly introduce here a few concepts. For a D-dimensional manifold M, one considers the generalized tangent bundle E given by the fibration of the cotangent bundle over the tangent bundle

$$\begin{tikzcd} T^*M \arrow[r, hook] & E \arrow[d] \\ & TM \end{tikzcd} \tag{6.6}$$

Locally, it is just given by $TM \oplus T^*M$, so the sections, called generalized vectors, are given by the sum of a vector and a one-form

$$V = v + \xi = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ \xi \end{pmatrix} \in TM \oplus T^*M \, . \tag{6.7}$$

The matrix η then provides a natural metric to couple vectors and one-forms

$$V^T \eta V = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} v & \xi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0_D & 1_D \\ 1_D & 0_D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ \xi \end{pmatrix} = v^M \xi_M \ . \tag{6.8}$$

This bilinear is left invariant by the $O(D,D)$ action, provided it acts linearly on the generalized vectors $V' = O^{-1} V$.

In this context, given a metric g and a two-form B living on M, one can show that the generalized metric $\mathcal{H}$ is a metric on the generalized tangent bundle E. Its $O(D,D)$ transformation is then given by (6.4). The T-duality action is then very natural in this context: roughly speaking, it acts similarly to a rotation on this bigger space E. One can also introduce generalized vielbeins $\mathcal{E}$. For a Riemannian manifold M, one can define the ordinary vielbeins e as $e^T \ 1_D \ e = g$, so one would consider here $\mathcal{E}$ such that

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{E}^T \begin{pmatrix} 1_D & 0_D \\ 0_D & 1_D \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{E} \ . \tag{6.9}$$

There are several possible choices for these $\mathcal{E}$, related by $O(2D)$ transformations on the left. For physical reasons, one should actually restrict this $O(2D)$ freedom to some $O(D) \times O(D)$ of a particular form (see for instance [5]). Here, we define the generalized vielbeins as

$$\mathcal{E} = \begin{pmatrix} e & 0_D \\ -e^{-T} B & e^{-T} \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{6.10}$$

The natural $O(D,D)$ action on these objects is then $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E} O$. Nevertheless, a particular $O(D) \times O(D)$ on the left being possible, it turns out for the Buscher rules that one should rather act as [5]

$$\mathcal{E}' = O_T \mathcal{E} O_T \ . \tag{6.11}$$

Let us finally mention that one can define (pure) spinors on E. We mentioned these spinors at the end of section 4. They have been used in type II SUGRA [33, 34] and in heterotic string [29] to rephrase the SUSY conditions. They have also been used to reformulate four-dimensional heterotic effective theory, following some previous work in type II SUGRA (see [38] and references therein). These spinors can actually encode all the fields of the NSNS sector. By looking at the spinorial representation of the $O(D,D)$ group, one can perform the T-duality transformation equivalently on these spinors. The resulting spinors would then encode the T-dual fields.

6.2 T-duality in heterotic string

As discussed in the Introduction, one can extend for heterotic string the T-duality group to $O(d_i, d_i + d_{\rm g})$, where $d_{\rm g}$ is the dimension of the Cartan subgroup of the gauge group. A way to work out the transformation of the gauge fields, in addition to that of the metric and B-field, is to consider the pseudo metric (1.2) and B-field (1.3), and to act on the resulting $\tilde{g} + \tilde{B}$ with fractional linear transformation (6.2) [11, 12, 13]. As mentioned in the previous section, it is however more convenient to act on a generalized metric and generalized vielbein. These objects, which already appeared before for T-duality on the NSNS sector alone, were somehow extended to $d_i + d_{\rm g}$ dimensions in [15]. More precisely, a generalized vielbein of size $(2d_i + d_{\rm g}) \times (2d_i + d_{\rm g})$ was found out there. It has the same form as (6.10) except that the last $d_{\rm g}$ lines and columns are truncated. Its vielbein and B-field are in agreement[22] with the pseudo metric (1.2) and B-field (1.3). The reason to truncate the last lines and columns is that they want to act linearly with the $O(d_i, d_i + d_{\rm g})$ transformation. But as mentioned in the Introduction, one can embed this $O(d_i, d_i + d_{\rm g})$ inside an $O(d_i + d_{\rm g}, d_i + d_{\rm g})$ transformation, provided the transformation is forced to preserve the structure of the pseudo metric and B-field. One could therefore consider the full $2(d_i + d_{\rm g}) \times 2(d_i + d_{\rm g})$ generalized vielbeins, or to simplify the formulation, $2(D + d_{\rm g}) \times 2(D + d_{\rm g})$ generalized vielbeins, and then only act non-trivially on the desired components. Such generalized vielbeins were considered in [29], motivated by the study of local $O(D + d_{\rm g}, D + d_{\rm g})$ transformations.

To summarize, one can perform heterotic T-duality by acting on $2(D + d_{\rm g}) \times 2(D + d_{\rm g})$ generalized vielbeins and generalized metric, provided the metric and B-field involved have the particular form of the pseudo fields (1.2) and (1.3), and that the $O(D + d_{\rm g}, D + d_{\rm g})$ transformation preserves this form. In this paper, we considered a theory in $\tilde{D} = D + d_{\rm g}$ dimensions where the metric and B-field had exactly the same form as the pseudo metric and B-field. Therefore, when considering the associated $2(D + d_{\rm g}) \times 2(D + d_{\rm g})$ generalized vielbeins and generalized metric, we consider exactly the good objects on which to act with heterotic T-duality. This transformation is then very natural in our $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory.

We give the $2(D + d_{\rm g}) \times 2(D + d_{\rm g})$ generalized vielbein

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{e} & 0_{\tilde{D}} \\ -\tilde{e}^{-T}\tilde{B} & \tilde{e}^{-T} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ e_g A & e_g & 0 & 0 \\ -e^{-T}(B + A^T g_g A) & e^{-T}A^T(B_g - g_g) & e^{-T} & -e^{-T}A^T \\ e_g A & -e_g^{-T} B_g & 0 & e_g^{-T} \end{pmatrix} , \qquad (6.12)$$

where we introduced B_g and $e_g^T e_g = g_g$ of coefficients $B_{\mathfrak{ab}}$ and $g_{\mathfrak{ab}}$, and A of coefficients $A^{\mathfrak{a}}_M$ (see (1.1)). We recall that the action to be performed is an $O(D + d_{\rm g}, D + d_{\rm g})$ linear action, restricted in such a way that it preserves the whole structure of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ (up to the $O(\tilde{D}) \times O(\tilde{D})$ freedom), and leaves invariant g_g (or even e_g) and B_g (in other words, it can only transform e, B, and A). This way, the $O(D + d_{\rm g}, D + d_{\rm g})$ is nothing but an embedding of the proper $O(D, D + d_{\rm g})$ heterotic T-duality group. In section 7, we come back to the rewriting of the heterotic string effective action covariantly with respect to the heterotic T-duality, using the generalized metric associated to (6.12).

---

[22] To be precise, as they were working in the abelian case, there is of course a mismatch for g_g and B_g. We will come back to the values they chose, and actually pick the same.

In the following, we will choose $e_g = 1_{d_{\rm g}}$ and $B_g = 0$. In the Introduction, the prescription was given to use the Cartan matrix of the gauge algebra. Here we consider the gauge group to be $U(1)^{d_{\rm g}}$, for which no Cartan matrix is defined. We then follow the discussion of section 3.4, where it was argued that g_g could in that case be chosen to be the identity. These values for e_g and B_g were also chosen in [15] where the T-duality was also performed in the abelian case.

6.3 Relating Kähler and non-Kähler solutions via T-duality

In section 5, we argued that two SUSY solutions, one Kähler (5.4) and the other non-Kähler (5.5), are simply related by exchanging some directions in the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory. We now show that this exchange of directions can be encoded in a heterotic T-duality[23], which is not given by the Buscher rules. Note that in both solutions, nothing depends on the $U(1)^{d_{\rm g}}$ nor on the T^2 coordinates, so T-dualities can be performed along both sets of directions.

Consider first a change of basis given by a $GL(\tilde{D})$ matrix P such that the one-forms (in basis $\mathcal{B}_1$) transform as ${\rm d}X' = P^{-1}{\rm d}X$. Then the corresponding action on the vielbein[24] and B-field matrices is given by $\tilde{e}' = P^{-1}\tilde{e}P$, $\tilde{B}' = P^T\tilde{B}P$. This change of basis can then be encoded in the $O(D+d_{\rm g}, D+d_{\rm g})$ matrix O_P acting on the generalized vielbein as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}' = O_P^{-1}\mathcal{E}O_P = \begin{pmatrix} P^{-1} & 0_{\tilde{D}} \\ 0_{\tilde{D}} & P^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{e} & 0_{\tilde{D}} \\ -\tilde{e}^{-T}\tilde{B} & \tilde{e}^{-T} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P & 0_{\tilde{D}} \\ 0_{\tilde{D}} & P^{-T} \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{6.13}$$

While the action on the right can be interpreted as a proper T-duality, the action on the left can be understood as the $O(\tilde{D}) \times O(\tilde{D})$ freedom previously discussed. Indeed, if $P \in O(\tilde{D})$ as it will be the case here, then this action on the left has the allowed form [5].

Now we can choose this P such that it reproduces the exchange of directions. We show this works explicitly for the vielbein. Given that $\tilde{B}' = P^T\tilde{B}P$, the exchange of directions will also be reproduced for the B-field[25]. Let us consider the following vielbein

$$\tilde{e} = \begin{pmatrix} e_{\mathcal{B}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ e_{\mathcal{F}}A_{\mathcal{F}} & e_{\mathcal{F}} & 0 & 0 \\ e_{gA}A_g & 0 & e_{gA} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e_{g0} \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{6.14}$$

We split the $\tilde{D} = D + d_{\rm g}$ space-time as follows: there is a base $\mathcal{B}$ with vielbein $e_{\mathcal{B}}$ on which the connections $A_{\mathcal{F}}$ and A_g live, and over which are fibered the geometric fiber $\mathcal{F}$ of vielbein $e_{\mathcal{F}}$ and the gauge part. The latter, of dimension $d_{\rm g}$ is split into a fibered part of vielbein e_{gA} and a free part e_{g0}. In the solutions considered in section 5, one has the base $\mathcal{B}$ made of four-dimensional Minkowski times the conformal $K3$. The geometric fiber is $\mathcal{F} = T^2$, and we restricted ourselves to $e_{\mathcal{F}} = 1_2$. We argued in the previous section that e_{gA} and e_{g0} are also chosen to be the identity. Finally, the two solutions considered have only one non-trivial fibration: either $A_{\mathcal{F}} = 0$ in (5.4) or $A_g = 0$ in (5.5). In order to work out the exchange properly, we need the dimensions of the non-trivial fibrations to be the same: $d_{\mathcal{F}} = d_{gA}$, and it equates 2 in the solutions considered. Then we consider the following matrix

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{d_{\mathcal{B}}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_{d_{\mathcal{F}}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{d_{\mathcal{F}}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_{d_{g0}} \end{pmatrix} \ , \ P = P^{-1} = P^T \in O(\tilde{D}) \ . \tag{6.15}$$

---

[23] A T-duality relating such solutions has been considered already in [20, 30].

[24] The action on the left of the vielbein can be understood as a change of basis for the local frame directions; in that case it is given by P^{-1} and not P^T because the coefficients of the vielbein matrix are given by one index up and one down, while those of the B-field and the metric are given by two indices down. The P^{-1} on the left can also be interpreted as the $O(\tilde{D})$ freedom (for Euclidean signature). As we will see, our $P \in O(\tilde{D})$.

[25] Note that having $B_g = 0$ and $B_{T^2} = 0$ also guarantees the exchange of the B-fields of the two solutions.

One can easily check that it produces the exchange of directions as desired:

$$\tilde{e}' = P^{-1}\tilde{e}P = \begin{pmatrix} e_{\mathcal{B}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ e_{gA}A_g & e_{gA} & 0 & 0 \\ e_{\mathcal{F}}A_{\mathcal{F}} & 0 & e_{\mathcal{F}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e_{g0} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{6.16}$$

Then, given that $e_{\mathcal{F}} = e_{gA} = 1_{d_{\mathcal{F}}}$, and if we take as argued in section 5 the connections of the solutions to be the same, we clearly exchange the two solutions with this transformation. Since it does not change e_g and B_g in our solutions, this transformation encoded as in (6.13) is a good heterotic T-duality. Note that comparing O_P with O_T of (6.5), one can easily see that this T-duality is not given by the Buscher rules.

The conjectured solution (5.6), which admits both a connection along $\mathcal{F}$ and along the gauge circles, can clearly be obtained from one of the other solutions by a similar transformation. One should just adapt slightly P so that only part of the connections are exchanged.

6.4 Buscher T-dualities, type IIB supergravity, and Generalized Complex Geometry

Let us now discuss the T-duals of the solutions (5.4) and (5.5), using Buscher rules for the T-duality. This means one has to act as in (6.11) with the matrix O_T given in (6.5), on the generalized vielbeins (6.12). We will compare the results with those of type IIB solutions, and make related remarks on a GCG approach in heterotic string.

In order to simplify the discussion, let us first introduce the following well-known example, which has similarities with the heterotic solutions. We consider three circles along $x^{1,2,3}$ and a metric and B-field given by

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \frac{\operatorname{Im}\rho(x^3)}{\operatorname{Im}\tau(x^3)}|\mathrm{d}x^1 + \tau(x^3)\mathrm{d}x^2|^2 + (\mathrm{d}x^3)^2 \ , \ B = -\operatorname{Re}\rho(x^3)\mathrm{d}x^1\wedge\mathrm{d}x^2 \ , \tag{6.17}$$

which can be written as

$$g = \frac{\operatorname{Im}\rho}{\operatorname{Im}\tau}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \operatorname{Re}\tau & 0 \\ \operatorname{Re}\tau & |\tau|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\operatorname{Im}\tau}{\operatorname{Im}\rho} \end{pmatrix} \ , \ B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{Re}\rho & 0 \\ \operatorname{Re}\rho & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{6.18}$$

τ is the complex structure and $\operatorname{Im}\rho$ is the volume. In that case, a (Buscher) T-duality along x^1 results in the exchange $\tau \leftrightarrow \rho$, while a T-duality along x^2 leads to the exchange $\tau \to -\frac{1}{\rho}$, $\rho \to -\frac{1}{\tau}$.

Let us now consider a particular case. We choose $\operatorname{Im}\rho = \operatorname{Im}\tau = 1$, so that the circle along x^1 is simply fibered over the base, which is along x^2 and x^3. The fibration is given by a connection one-form $\operatorname{Re}\tau(x^3)\mathrm{d}x^2$

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = (\mathrm{d}x^1 + \operatorname{Re}\tau(x^3)\mathrm{d}x^2)^2 + (\mathrm{d}x^2)^2 + (\mathrm{d}x^3)^2 \ , \ B = \operatorname{Re}\rho(x^3)\mathrm{d}x^2\wedge\mathrm{d}x^1 \ . \tag{6.19}$$

This example has the same form as the heterotic solutions (5.4) and (5.5), provided that $\operatorname{Re}\rho = \operatorname{Re}\tau$. Indeed, the direction x^1 is the fiber direction, which corresponds either to the $U(1)^{d_{\mathrm{g}}}$ or the T^2 directions. The direction x^2 corresponds to the directions of the $K3$ given by the one-forms A^i or $A^{\mathfrak{a}}$. We take $\operatorname{Re}\rho = \operatorname{Re}\tau$ because in the heterotic solutions, the B-field depends on the connections in this way.

Let us first consider the T-duality along x^2. In the previous example (6.19), it is allowed since nothing depends on x^2 (at least with this gauge choice for the connection). We do not know whether the analogous situation can be found in the heterotic solutions (in particular, we do not know the $K3$ metric, which could depend on all $K3$ coordinates). Nevertheless, let us consider the case where such a T-duality is allowed. Then, this T-duality is known to lead to a non-geometric set-up with so-called Q-flux. The reason is the following: the diagonal metric element along the T-dualised direction will go through the "radius inversion", which results here in

$$g_{MM} \sim \frac{1}{|\rho|^2} \sim \frac{1}{1+(A^{\mathfrak{a}}_M)^2} \text{ or } \frac{1}{1+(A^{i}_M)^2} \ , \tag{6.20}$$

according to the solution. Since the connection one-form is usually not globally defined, this metric element will not be single-valued. One could then use a T-duality to patch it. This is the typical non-geometric situation with Q-flux: the geometry is only locally well-defined.

It would be interesting to investigate this possibility further. In particular, non-geometric solutions obtained as T-duals of a solution with non-trivial gauge fields, like solution (5.4), would be new with respect to the type II SUGRA examples. One could also investigate any possible relation with the non-geometric[26] solutions obtained in [40].

Let us now consider the T-duality along x^1, i.e. the fiber. In both heterotic solutions, nothing depends on the $U(1)^{d_{\rm g}}$ nor on the T^2 coordinates, so T-dualities can be performed along these fiber directions. By performing a T-duality along the $d_{\rm g}$ directions for solution (5.4), or along the T^2 for solution (5.5), i.e. along the non-trivially fibered circles, one gets a surprising result: the T-dual generalized vielbeins remain totally invariant! We get

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}' = O_T \tilde{\mathcal{E}} O_T = \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \ . \tag{6.21}$$

In other words, the metrics, B-fields and gauge potentials are invariant[27]. By looking at the simple example (6.19), one can actually understand this result. The T-duality along x^1 results in $\tau \leftrightarrow \rho$. This exchange clearly leaves the solution invariant, since we asked for $\mathrm{Im}\,\rho = \mathrm{Im}\,\tau$ and $\mathrm{Re}\,\rho = \mathrm{Re}\,\tau$. The presence, and structure of the B-field in these solutions therefore plays a crucial role, especially in solution (5.4) with non-trivial gauge field, where the ansatz for the B-field mixed component is surprisingly important.

Let us note that such a situation is unusual in type IIB SUGRA. There, the common $SU(3)$ structure SUSY solutions on a compact manifold either have a B-field but no connection (solutions of type B, as in [41], with a conformal Calabi-Yau, an imaginary self-dual three-flux, and D3-branes and O3-planes sourcing an F_5-flux), or have no B-field but a connection (solutions of type C, as for instance in [42, 43], on a twisted torus, with D5-branes and O5-planes sourcing an F_3-flux). These two sets of solutions can be T-dual to each other. The T-duality is then said to exchange the B-field and the connection. This can be understood from the simple example (6.17), with either $\mathrm{Re}\,\rho$ or $\mathrm{Re}\,\tau$ being zero, and the T-duality resulting in $\tau \leftrightarrow \rho$. Such a T-duality therefore (ex)changes the solutions in type IIB, while in heterotic string, the solutions remain invariant.

The classification of $SU(3)$ structure SUSY solutions of type IIB SUGRA [44] also contains so-called type A solutions, which are similar to those of heterotic string, even if none is known on a compact manifold. Solutions of type A and type C are known to be S-duals. This S-duality provides another way to understand the difference between heterotic and type IIB SUSY solutions. Note this S-duality is also present in the chain of dualities which relates heterotic and type IIB string[28]. Under S-duality, the H-flux is exchanged with the RR flux F_3. This can also be seen in the SUSY conditions for an $SU(3)$ structure. For a six-dimensional compact manifold, in the large volume limit and with $e^{\phi} = 1$ for simplicity, type C solutions of type IIB SUGRA lead to the following SUSY conditions

$$\mathrm{d}(\Omega) = 0 \ , \ \mathrm{d}(J \wedge J) = 0 \ , \ \mathrm{d}(J) = - * F_3 \ , \tag{6.22}$$

---

[26] Let us mention that the pseudo fields (1.1) have also been used to discuss non-geometry for heterotic string in [39].

[27] Of course, the fact we chose the identity metric for both the $U(1)^{d_{\rm g}}$ and the T^2 part plays a role: one should normally get the inversion of radius, which is obviously not seen with the identity.

[28] Via an orbifold limit, one can identify type IIB with D9/D5 branes on $T^4/\mathbb{Z}_2$ with type I on $K3$, and the latter is S-dual to heterotic on $K3$ (see for instance [23, 24]).

while the SUSY conditions of heterotic string discussed in section 4 (or type A solutions) can be rewritten as

$$\mathrm{d}(\Omega) = 0 \ , \ \mathrm{d}(J \wedge J) = 0 \ , \ \mathrm{d}(J) = - * H \ . \tag{6.23}$$

These remarks lead us to the conclusion that in heterotic string, or at least for the solutions we considered, the H-flux plays the role of the F_3 in type IIB SUGRA. This is the reason for the qualitative differences of the solutions.

This different behavior of the H-flux between heterotic and type IIB solutions may have some importance for a GCG approach in heterotic string. The B-field plays a particular role in GCG, as being responsible for the non-trivial fibration of the generalized tangent bundle. Indeed, the B-field is similar to a connection there, as can be seen for instance in the generalized metric or vielbein. In type IIB SUGRA, the RR fields on the contrary cannot be viewed directly in the (generalized) geometry. Therefore, if the H-flux in heterotic string acts like a RR flux in type IIB SUGRA, one should then not include the B-field in a GCG construction in heterotic string. Instead, one should consider for instance a trivially fibered generalized tangent bundle. Working on the SUSY conditions (see end of sections 4 and 6.1), such a conclusion was already reached in [29]. Indeed, it was noticed there that the pure spinors should not contain the B-field as they would have in type IIB SUGRA.

However, we discussed in this paper a generalized vielbein and metric that should be used for T-duality in heterotic string. Those objects did contain the B-field, and we mentioned that they were natural objects of GCG. Therefore, we conclude that a GCG construction in heterotic string is complicated by the fact that the pure spinor approach, and the generalized metric approach, seem not to be easily compatible.

7 Final remarks

The main motivations and results of this paper have been summarized in the Introduction. In this section, we would like to conclude with some remarks.

One of the main results of this paper is to prove the equivalence of the heterotic string effective action at order α' with a higher dimensional action, provided one uses a particular ansatz for the higher dimensional space and fields. This higher dimensional action is very close to the NSNS standard action: only an α' term differs. This leads us to two comments. First, it is known [15, 8] that the NSNS action can be rewritten in an $O(D, D)$ covariant way in terms of the dilaton and the generalized metric (6.3). This implies here that up to this α' term, the heterotic string effective action at order α' can be rewritten in an $O(D + d_{\mathrm{g}}, D + d_{\mathrm{g}})$ covariant way in terms of the dilaton and the generalized metric related to (6.12). This was one of the motivations for this paper, and we conclude here that this covariant rewriting can be performed as we have just described. The crucial step for it has been the incorporation of the gauge fields in the higher dimensional fields and geometry.

Secondly, if we choose $d_{\mathrm{g}} = 16$, as it should be for a flat ten-dimensional heterotic string, we have then shown that up to this α' term, the bosonic string in 26 dimensions and the (abelian bosonic) heterotic string in 10 dimensions have equivalent effective descriptions, provided one can plug the "heterotic ansatz" in the bosonic string. This result may have interesting consequences. Note first that similar results seem to have been obtained from the world-sheet point of view. Indeed, heterotic string is said to be embedded in bosonic string either via a truncation [45], or as a Kaluza-Klein reduction [46]. It would be nice to study further the relation to these papers, as it looks like we obtain in our paper a (target space) effective theory derivation of such results, at order α'. These world-sheet studies may also help for the non-abelian extension. Another consequence of such an embedding would be to use the bosonic string understanding of some phenomena, and translate it into the heterotic string. For instance, one could study mirror symmetry, or also non-geometry (see section 6.4 and [39]) in heterotic string, using the bosonic string perspective.

In this paper, we have presented an equivalence of two theories in different dimensions, which should be understood as the rewriting of one into the other, as far as the action and various equations are concerned, provided the "heterotic ansatz" is used (see comments below (1.10)). We have not discussed whether this equivalence corresponds to a proper compactification. In particular, the equality of the actions is shown as a computational result, and we have not performed any type of dimensional reduction. We leave this to future work, but let us present here a few elements related to this question. First, the "heterotic ansatz" specifies that no field depends on the $U(1)^{d_{\rm g}}$ coordinates, as in a Kaluza-Klein reduction. Secondly, using this ansatz, the equations of motion are the same for both theories, so one could talk of a consistent truncation. These are interesting elements to argue for a dimensional reduction. However, one should study further the fact that the "heterotic ansatz" is not the most general ansatz for a reduction on a $d_{\rm g}$-dimensional torus. Indeed, the off-diagonal components of the metric and B-field are not independent as they should a priori be. We know though that the heterotic string effective action is recovered at this cost. Actually, the fact these components are not independent is related to the chirality of heterotic string. One way to understand this is the argument given below (1.3) about heterotic T-duality: the fact the off-diagonal components of the metric and B-field are related in this particular way implies that the last $d_{\rm g}$ lines of $\tilde{g} + \tilde{B}$ are totally fixed and should be left unchanged. This breaks the group $O(D+d_{\rm g}, D+d_{\rm g})$ towards only the heterotic T-duality group $O(D, D+d_{\rm g})$. The asymmetry of the latter is exactly due to the chirality of heterotic string, so this particular ansatz for the off-diagonal components is related to this chirality. Coming back to the world-sheet descriptions [11, 12, 13] should make this link more precise. To treat our rewriting as a proper dimensional reduction, one should then study whether this choice of the off-diagonal components corresponds to a truncation of some modes, which again could be given by the chirality constraint. We leave these questions to future work, and only consider the results of this paper as a rewriting.

An important aspect of the equivalence worked out is that the gauge fields are now on the same footing as the NSNS fields, since they are part of the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional geometry. It would be nice to bring such a structure to type II SUGRA. There, the gauge fields enter the game in a different fashion: they appear through the branes actions. In most of the type II solutions with compact manifold, they are not taken into account. One reason is that the negatively charged sources in type II SUGRA are often enough to satisfy the BI, and one does need to consider higher order α' corrections, with which the gauge fields would arise. Finding a similar structure incorporating the gauge fields would though be interesting. In particular, given that the heterotic solutions offer relations between gauge fields and B-fields, and following the discussion on S-duality made in the last section, one may wonder whether in type II SUGRA a relation between the C_2 RR gauge potential and the gauge fields could be found.

Finally, the mathematical aspects related to the existence of solutions in heterotic string are often rather intricate, and we hope the equivalence worked out could help in this direction. Note as well the recent results at order α' on the conditions for the SUSY equations and the BI to be sufficient to guarantee a solution to the e.o.m.: see [47, 30], and references therein. The equivalence might also help to understand better this result.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank M. Abou-Zeid, D. Cassani, J. Gray, S. Groot Nibbelink, E. Palti, A. Tomasiello, D. Tsimpis and P. Vaudrevange for useful discussions. I acknowledge support of the Alexander Humboldt Foundation.

A Conventions on forms and Riemannian geometry

In this appendix, we give our conventions on forms, and review some elements of Riemannian geometry, needed for our heterotic string computations. We also derive some useful formulas.

A.1 Forms and Hodge star

Our convention for a p-form A on a generic basis of one-forms $\{\mathrm{d}x^m\}$ is

$$A = \frac{1}{p!} A_{m_1\ldots m_p} \mathrm{d}x^{m_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{m_p} \ . \tag{A.1}$$

Therefore, a wedged form given by the wedge product of A and a q-form B is defined as

$$\frac{1}{(p+q)!}(A\wedge B)_{m_1\ldots m_{p+q}} = \frac{1}{p!q!} A_{[m_1\ldots m_p} B_{m_{p+1}\ldots m_{p+q}]} \ , \tag{A.2}$$

where the right-hand side indices are totally antisymmetrized. The contraction of a one-form ξ with A is given by the following $(p-1)$-form

$$\iota_\xi A = \frac{1}{(p-1)!} \xi^{m_1} A_{m_1\ldots m_p} \mathrm{d}x^{m_2} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{m_p} \ , \tag{A.3}$$

where the index is raised by a given metric. Therefore, we get

$$\iota_\xi (A\wedge B) = (\iota_\xi A)\wedge B + (-1)^p \ A \wedge (\iota_\xi B) \ . \tag{A.4}$$

The totally antisymmetric tensor ϵ is defined by $\epsilon_{m_0\ldots m_k} = +1/-1$ for $(m_0 \ldots m_k)$ being any even/odd permutation of $(0\ldots k)$, and 0 otherwise. Given a metric g of determinant (of absolute value) $|g|$, on a d-dimensional space, our conventions for the Hodge star $*$ is then

$$*\,(\mathrm{d}x^{m_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge\mathrm{d}x^{m_k}) = \frac{\sqrt{|g|}}{(d-k)!}\ \epsilon^{m_1\ldots m_k\ m_{k+1}\ldots m_d}\ g_{m_{k+1}n_{k+1}}\cdots g_{m_d n_d}\ \mathrm{d}x^{n_{k+1}}\wedge\ldots\wedge\mathrm{d}x^{n_d}\ , \tag{A.5}$$

One gets

$$**A = (-1)^{(d-p)p+s}\ A = (-1)^{(d-1)p+s}\ A \ , \tag{A.6}$$

where $s=0$, respectively 1, for Euclidean, respectively Lorentzian, signature.

Let us consider that the basis of one-forms of the space can be split into two parts corresponding to two subspaces $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$. Consider that A is given by forms living on $\mathcal{M}$ and B by forms on $\mathcal{N}$. Consider finally that the metric is block diagonal in this basis of one-forms. Then one has

$$*\,(A\wedge B) = (-1)^{q(d_{\mathcal{M}}-p)}\ (*_{\mathcal{M}}A)\wedge(*_{\mathcal{N}}B) \ , \tag{A.7}$$

where $*_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the Hodge star on the subspace $\mathcal{M}$ of dimension $d_{\mathcal{M}}$. This formula is valid provided the order of the forms corresponds to the orientation, meaning that for m_i, respectively n_i, indices on $\mathcal{M}$, respectively $\mathcal{N}$, one has $\epsilon_{m_1\ldots m_{d_{\mathcal{M}}} n_1\ldots n_{d_{\mathcal{N}}}} = \epsilon_{m_1\ldots m_{d_{\mathcal{M}}}}\epsilon_{n_1\ldots n_{d_{\mathcal{N}}}}$.

A.2 Complex forms

We consider a d-dimensional Riemannian space with d even and an almost hermitian structure. It means one can find an almost complex structure I defining locally $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ directions, denoted with indices μ and $\overline{\mu}$, and in a local basis, $I_\mu{}^\nu = i\delta_\mu{}^\nu$, $I_{\overline{\mu}}{}^{\overline{\nu}} = -i\delta_{\overline{\mu}}{}^{\overline{\nu}}$. In addition, there exists an hermitian metric in the local basis $g_{\mu\overline{\nu}}$. Out of these two objects, one can construct the fundamental two-form J by defining its coefficients as $J_{\mu\overline{\nu}} = ig_{\mu\overline{\nu}}$, $J_{\overline{\mu}\nu} = -ig_{\overline{\mu}\nu}$.

To be consistent with our tensor and form definitions on a generic one-form basis $\{\mathrm{d}x^m\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J &= \frac{1}{2} J_{mn} \mathrm{d}x^m \wedge \mathrm{d}x^n = \frac{1}{2}(J_{\mu\overline{\nu}} \mathrm{d}z^\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z^\nu} + J_{\overline{\mu}\nu} \mathrm{d}\overline{z^\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d}z^\nu) = J_{\mu\overline{\nu}} \mathrm{d}z^\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z^\nu} = i g_{\mu\overline{\nu}} \mathrm{d}z^\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z^\nu} \ , \\
\mathrm{d}s^2 &= g_{mn} \mathrm{d}x^m \mathrm{d}x^n = g_{\mu\overline{\nu}} \mathrm{d}z^\mu \mathrm{d}\overline{z^\nu} + g_{\overline{\mu}\nu} \mathrm{d}\overline{z^\mu} \mathrm{d}z^\nu = 2 g_{\mu\overline{\nu}} \mathrm{d}z^\mu \mathrm{d}\overline{z^\nu} \ . \qquad \text{(A.8)}
\end{aligned}
$$

As an example, let us define the following $(1,0)$-form in terms of two real forms $\mathrm{d}x^m$, and real constants a, b:

$$\mathrm{d}z^1 = a\ \mathrm{d}x^1 + ib\ \mathrm{d}x^2 \ , \ \mathrm{d}\overline{z^1} = a\ \mathrm{d}x^1 - ib\ \mathrm{d}x^2 \ , \qquad \text{(A.9)}$$

where we can restrict ourselves to $a, b > 0$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = g_{11}(\mathrm{d}x^1)^2 + g_{22}(\mathrm{d}x^2)^2 + \dots = 2 g_{1\overline{1}} |\mathrm{d}z^1|^2 + \dots \qquad \text{(A.10)}$$

Provided that $g_{12} = 0$ (we can always choose the $\mathrm{d}x^m$ in such a way), and that the dots denote orthogonal directions, we get $2 g_{1\overline{1}} = \frac{g_{11}}{a^2} = \frac{g_{22}}{b^2}$.

The volume form is defined[29] as $\mathrm{vol} = \sqrt{|g|} \bigwedge_{m=1}^{d} \mathrm{d}x^m$. With our conventions, we then have

$$J^{\frac{d}{2}} = \bigwedge_{\mu=1}^{\frac{d}{2}} i g_{\mu\overline{\mu}} \mathrm{d}z^\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z^\mu} = \mathrm{vol} \ , \qquad \text{(A.11)}$$

where the term in the middle is valid for a diagonal metric (always reachable via a change of basis). To illustrate this formula, we can use the previous example: $\mathrm{d}z^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z^1} = -2iab\ \mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2$, and therefore $i g_{1\overline{1}} \mathrm{d}z^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{z^1} = \sqrt{|g_{11} g_{22}|} \mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2$. Finally, using this example, one can show that

$$* \, \mathrm{d}z^\mu = -i \mathrm{d}z^\mu \wedge \mathrm{vol}_{\perp \mu, \overline{\mu}} \ , \qquad \text{(A.12)}$$

and its complex conjugation.

A.3 Elements of Riemannian geometry

In a coordinate basis, we write the vectors as $e_m = \partial_m$ and the one-forms as $\mathrm{d}x^m$. In the local frame (a priori a non-coordinate basis), we write them respectively as e_a and θ^a. More precisely, vielbeins ${e^a}_m$ are defined such that $g_{mn} = {e^a}_m {e^b}_n \eta_{ab}$, where η, the Minkowski metric, is used for Lorentzian signature, and should be replaced by δ for Euclidean signature. From now on, we will use generically η having in mind this possible replacement. The inverse vielbein is denoted ${e_a}^m$: ${e^a}_m {e_b}^n = \delta^a_b \delta^n_m$. Then one defines $e_a = {e_a}^m e_m$ and $\theta^a = {e^a}_m \mathrm{d}x^m$.

For a tensor t, one has

$$t = t^{n_1 \dots n_u}_{m_1 \dots m_l} e_{n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{n_u} \otimes \mathrm{d}x^{m_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathrm{d}x^{m_l} = t^{b_1 \dots b_u}_{a_1 \dots a_l} e_{b_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{b_u} \otimes \theta^{a_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \theta^{a_l} \ . \qquad \text{(A.13)}$$

For a generic connection Γ^m_{np}, the covariant derivative of t is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_n(t) = \Big(\partial_n t^{n_1 \dots n_u}_{m_1 \dots m_l} &+ \Gamma^{n_1}_{nk} t^{k\ n_2 \dots n_u}_{m_1 \dots m_l} + \Gamma^{n_2}_{nk} t^{n_1\ k\ n_3 \dots n_u}_{m_1 \dots m_l} + \dots \\
&- \Gamma^k_{n m_1} t^{n_1 \dots n_u}_{k\ m_2 \dots m_l} - \Gamma^k_{n m_2} t^{n_1 \dots n_u}_{m_1\ k\ m_3 \dots m_l} - \dots \Big)\ e_{n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{n_u} \otimes \mathrm{d}x^{m_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathrm{d}x^{m_l} \qquad \text{(A.14)}
\end{aligned}
$$

As a definition, one has $\nabla_n e_p = \Gamma^m_{np} e_m$ and $\nabla_n \mathrm{d}x^p = -\Gamma^p_{nm} \mathrm{d}x^m$. Similarly one defines $\nabla_b e_c = \Gamma^a_{bc} e_a$, and then one gets

$$\Gamma^a_{bc} = {e^a}_m {e_b}^n (\partial_n {e_c}^m + \Gamma^m_{np} {e_c}^p) \ . \qquad \text{(A.15)}$$

As a consequence, the formula (A.14) is also valid with all indices being local frame indices $(a, b, \dots)$, and where we define $\partial_a = {e_a}^m \partial_m$. Given these definitions, one also has $\nabla_a = {e_a}^m \nabla_m$.

---

[29] It is implicit that the order $1 \dots d$ corresponds to the positive orientation. In formula (A.11), it is also assumed that the definition of the $\mathrm{d}z^\mu$ and their order is such that this orientation is preserved.

We introduce ${f^a}_{bc}$ (it corresponds to a structure constant when considering a Lie algebra)

$$ {f^a}_{bc} = {e^a}_m \left({e_b}^n \partial_n {e_c}^m - {e_c}^n \partial_n {e_b}^m \right) \ . \tag{A.16} $$

It measures the difference between antisymmetrized connections in coordinate and non-coordinate basis. The torsion tensor can be defined with it:

$$ {T^m}_{np} = 2{\Gamma^m}_{[np]} = {e_a}^m {e^b}_n {e^c}_p \left(2{\Gamma^a}_{[bc]} - {f^a}_{bc} \right) = {e_a}^m {e^b}_n {e^c}_p {T^a}_{bc} \ . \tag{A.17} $$

The connection one-form is defined as ${\omega^a}_b = \Gamma^a_{cb}\, \theta^c$. The “spin-connection” is defined as its coefficient in a coordinate basis: ${\omega^a}_{bm} = \Gamma^a_{cb} {e^c}_m$. The connection one-form satisfies the Cartan’s structure equations

$$ \mathrm{d}\theta^a + {\omega^a}_b \wedge \theta^b = T^a = \frac{1}{2} {T^a}_{bc}\, \theta^b \wedge \theta^c \ , \tag{A.18} $$

$$ \mathrm{d}{\omega^a}_b + {\omega^a}_c \wedge {\omega^c}_b = {R^a}_b = \frac{1}{2} {R^a}_{bcd}\, \theta^c \wedge \theta^d \ , \tag{A.19} $$

where the two lines give respectively the torsion two-form and the curvature two-form, out of the torsion and Riemann tensors. We recall the torsion and Riemann tensors are always antisymmetric within their last two indices, whatever connection is used. Note that (A.18) is obvious from (A.17).

The exterior derivative is defined as $\mathrm{d} = (\mathrm{d}x^m \wedge)\partial_m = (\theta^a \wedge)\partial_a$. However, when acting on a form t, it can be written with a covariant derivative involving a torsionless connection, thanks to the antisymmetrization:

$$ (\mathrm{d}t)_{m_0 \dots m_l} = \partial_{[m_0} t_{m_1 \dots m_l]} = \nabla_{[m_0} t_{m_1 \dots m_l]} = {e^{a_0}}_{m_0} \dots {e^{a_l}}_{m_l} \nabla_{[a_0} t_{a_1 \dots a_l]} \ . \tag{A.20} $$

Note that the last expression can be written with ∂_a and ${f^a}_{bc}$ since we consider in this formula ∇ to be torsionless. In addition, for the covariant derivative ∇_ω associated to a generic connection ω and a two-form t, one has

$$ \nabla_{\omega\ [m} t_{np]} = \partial_{[m} t_{np]} + T^q_{\omega\ [mn} t_{p]q} \ , \tag{A.21} $$

the formula being also valid with local frame indices. For a generic connection and a one-form $v = v_p \mathrm{d}x^p$, one can also show

$$ 2\nabla_{[m}\nabla_{n]} v_p = -{T^r}_{mn} \nabla_r v_p - {R^q}_{pmn} v_q \ . \tag{A.22} $$

The compatibility of the connection with the metric, meaning the metric being covariantly constant, is equivalent to the antisymmetry of the two free indices of the connection one-form: $\omega_{ab} = -\omega_{ba}$, where indices are raised and lowered with the appropriate metric. Then, out of (A.19), one gets as well $R_{ab} = -R_{ba}$. We recall that the Levi-Civita connection is the unique one compatible with the metric and torsionless. This is the connection used to derive Einstein equations.

Let us mention some possible confusions in notations. Here, we mean by $\nabla_n(t)^{n_1 \dots n_u}_{m_1 \dots m_l}$ the coefficient of $\nabla_n(t)$ with indices ${}^{n_1 \dots n_u}_{m_1 \dots m_l}$ (formula (A.14) without the basis elements). One has to be careful when the tensor has internal indices, like the curvature two-form. For instance, it is important to distinguish between $\nabla_n({R^a}_b)_{cd}$ and $\nabla_n(R)^a{}_{bcd}$: the first one is the derivative of a two-tensor (here a two-form) while the second one is the derivative of a four-tensor (the Riemann tensor). A confusion can arise when one finds a derivative without brackets, such as $\nabla_n {R^a}_{bcd}$. By convention, this would then mean the same as $\nabla_n(R)^a{}_{bcd}$, the derivative of the Riemann tensor. An illustration of this distinction is given in the derivation of the following Bianchi identity.

By applying the exterior derivative on (A.19), one can show

$$ \mathrm{d}{R^a}_b = {R^a}_c \wedge {\omega^c}_b - {\omega^a}_c \wedge {R^c}_b \ , \tag{A.23} $$

out of which one gets in components (lowering the a to e, and where only f, c, d are antisymmetrized)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{[f}(R_{eb})_{cd]} - \omega^{k}{}_{b[f} R_{ek|cd]} - \omega^{k}{}_{e[f} R_{kb|cd]} = 0 \\
\Leftrightarrow\ \ & \nabla_{\omega\ [f}(R_{eb})_{cd]} - \omega^{k}{}_{b[f} R_{ek|cd]} - \omega^{k}{}_{e[f} R_{kb|cd]} = T^{g}_{\omega\ [fc} R_{eb|d]g} \\
\Leftrightarrow\ \ & \nabla_{\omega\ [f} R_{eb|cd]} = T^{g}_{\omega\ [fc} R_{eb|d]g} \\
\Leftrightarrow\ \ & \nabla_{\omega\ f} R_{ebcd} + \nabla_{\omega\ c} R_{ebdf} + \nabla_{\omega\ d} R_{ebfc} = 3\ T^{g}_{\omega\ [fc} R_{eb|d]g}\ . \qquad \text{(A.24)}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (A.21). In the last line, we used the antisymmetry properties of the Riemann tensor. While in (A.23) the left-hand side is the covariant derivative of a two-form, the right-hand side provides the terms to make it a covariant derivative of a four-tensor, as we can see in the third line of (A.24).

Going back to definitions, for a connection ω compatible with the metric, one can show that

$$\eta^{ef} \nabla_{\omega\ l} R_{\omega\ deaf} = \nabla_{\omega\ l} R_{\omega\ da}\ , \qquad \text{(A.25)}$$

where right-hand side is the covariant derivative of the Ricci tensor.

A.4 Heterotic string connections

In heterotic string effective description, one introduces various connections. From now on, we denote $\omega^{a}{}_{b}$ the connection one-form corresponding to the Levi-Civita choice. One then introduces

$$\omega^{a}_{\pm\ b} = \omega^{a}{}_{b} \pm \frac{1}{2} H^{a}{}_{b}\ , \qquad \text{(A.26)}$$

where $H^{a}{}_{b} = e^{a}{}_{m} e_{b}{}^{n} g^{mp} H_{pnq} \mathrm{d}x^{q}$ is the one-form given by the H-flux coefficient. The latter is a tensor, so one goes from a indices to m indices with vielbein multiplications. Because of the antisymmetry of H, one gets that $\omega_{\pm\ ab}$ is also antisymmetric in a, b, and so is the associated $R_{\pm\ ab}$.

Using (A.18), one can show that the torsion tensors associated to these connections are simply given by H:

$$T^{a}_{\epsilon=\pm1\ bc} = -\epsilon\ H^{a}{}_{bc}\ . \qquad \text{(A.27)}$$

We denote from now on by ∇, respectively $\nabla_{\pm}$, the covariant derivative associated to $\omega^{a}{}_{b}$, respectively $\omega^{a}_{\pm\ b}$. As discussed in (A.20), when applied on a form t, the exterior derivative will often be considered as $\mathrm{d}t = (\mathrm{d}x^{m} \wedge) \nabla_{m} t = (\theta^{a} \wedge) \nabla_{a} t$.

Several identities need to be derived. Note that in these derivations, we will not assume anything on the dimension of the space, nor on its signature. First, using (A.14) and (2.6), one can show for a two-form t

$$\forall m\ ,\ \mathrm{d}x^{m} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{n} \wedge \nabla_{\epsilon\ n}(*t) = \mathrm{d}x^{m} \wedge \mathrm{d}(*t) + \epsilon\ \mathrm{d}x^{m} \wedge t \wedge *H\ . \qquad \text{(A.28)}$$

One can also give a formula for the Riemann and Ricci tensors. Using (A.19), one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\epsilon\ abcd} &= R_{\ abcd} + \frac{1}{2} H_{ea[c} H^{e}{}_{d]b} + \epsilon \nabla_{[c}(H)_{d]ab}\ , \qquad \text{(A.29)} \\
R_{\epsilon\ abcd} - R_{-\epsilon\ cdab} &= 2\epsilon \nabla_{[a}(H)_{bcd]}\ . \qquad \text{(A.30)}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the antisymmetry properties, one can work out the associated Ricci tensor:

$$R^{a}_{\epsilon\ bae} = R_{\epsilon\ be} = R_{be} - \frac{1}{4} H_{bac} H_{e}{}^{ac} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left(\partial_{a} H^{a}{}_{be} + \omega^{c}{}_{ac} H^{a}{}_{be} + \omega^{c}{}_{ba} H^{a}{}_{ec} - \omega^{c}{}_{ea} H^{a}{}_{bc} \right)\ ,$$

which can be rewritten as

$$R_{\epsilon\ be} = R_{be} - \frac{1}{4} H_{bac} H_{e}{}^{ac} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \eta_{bc} \eta_{ed} (-*) \Big(\theta^{c} \wedge \theta^{d} \wedge \mathrm{d}(*H) \Big)\ , \qquad \text{(A.31)}$$

where one can work out the top form $\theta^{c} \wedge \theta^{d} \wedge \mathrm{d}(*H)$ using (A.14) and (A.20). The $(-*)$ is the notation for an operator used to get the coefficient of this top form (see footnote 31 of appendix B.2 about it).

B Heterotic string equations of motion

Given the conventions for heterotic string discussed in section 2, we are going to derive in details the equations of motion (e.o.m.) at order α' out of the action S (2.7), considered for a space of arbitrary dimension. For purposes of this paper, it is important to note that the whole derivation does not depend on the dimension and the signature of the space (see in particular footnote 31 of appendix B.2).

Deriving these e.o.m. at order α' is complicated by the dependence of the connection ω_+ in various fields. In addition, H does not depend only on the B-field but also on ω_+ and on $\mathcal{A}$. Fortunately, the result is simplified thanks to an important lemma worked out in [17]. It states that the variation of the action with respect to ω_+, which is of order α', is related to e.o.m. at order $\alpha'^{\ 0}$ (see (2.9)). Therefore, this variation can be consistently discarded. We rederive here this lemma in details.

B.1 First variations of the action

The variation with respect to the dilaton gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\kappa^2\frac{\delta S}{\delta\phi} = -2\sqrt{|g|}e^{-2\phi}\left(R-\frac{1}{2}|H|^2+\frac{\alpha'}{4}(\mathrm{tr}(R_+^2)-\mathrm{tr}(\mathcal{F}^2))+4(\nabla^2\phi-|\mathrm{d}\phi|^2)+O(\alpha'^{\ 2})\right)\ ,\\
&E_{\phi\ 0} = R-\frac{1}{2}\left(|H|^2\right)_0+4(\nabla^2\phi-|\mathrm{d}\phi|^2)\ ,\ E_{\phi\ 1} = -\frac{1}{2}\left(|H|^2\right)_1+\frac{\alpha'}{4}(\mathrm{tr}(R_+^2)-\mathrm{tr}(\mathcal{F}^2))\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

where $(\dots)_n$ denotes the part of $\dots$ being of order $\alpha'^{\ n}$. We introduce for later convenience the quantity to annihilate $E_{\phi\ n}$ at order $\alpha'^{\ n}$. For all the other fields, the derivation is more subtle, because either H, or the connection ω_+, depend on them. In view of the lemma previously discussed, we will first write generically $\frac{\delta S}{\delta\omega_+}$, which will be studied later on. Therefore, the Einstein equation is given by[30]

$$\begin{aligned}
2\kappa^2\frac{\delta S}{\delta g^{MN}} \ =\ & \sqrt{|g|}e^{-2\phi}\Bigg(\frac{\delta S}{\delta\omega_+}\frac{\delta\omega_+}{\delta g^{MN}}-\frac{g_{MN}}{2}\Big(R+4|\mathrm{d}\phi|^2-\frac{1}{2}|H|^2+\frac{\alpha'}{4}(\mathrm{tr}(R_+^2)-\mathrm{tr}(\mathcal{F}^2))\Big)\\
&+R_{MN}-\frac{1}{2}\ \iota_M H\cdot\iota_N H+2g_{MN}(2|\mathrm{d}\phi|^2-\nabla^2\phi)+2\nabla_M\nabla_N\phi\\
&+\frac{\alpha'}{4}(\mathrm{tr}(\iota_M R_+\cdot\iota_N R_+)-\mathrm{tr}(\iota_M\mathcal{F}\cdot\iota_N\mathcal{F}))+O(\alpha'^{\ 2})\Bigg)\ ,
\end{aligned}$$

where we introduced for a k-form A the notation $\iota_M A = \iota_{\mathrm{d}x^M}A$ out of (A.3). Note in addition that $\frac{\partial|A|^2}{\partial g^{MN}} = \iota_M A\cdot\iota_N A$. For later convenience, we introduce the following quantities:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{g\ 0,MN} \ &=\ -\frac{g_{MN}}{2}E_{\phi\ 0}+R_{MN}-\frac{1}{2}\ (\iota_M H\cdot\iota_N H)_0+2\nabla_M\nabla_N\phi\ ,\\
E_{g\ 1,MN} \ &=\ -\frac{g_{MN}}{2}E_{\phi\ 1}-\frac{1}{2}\ (\iota_M H\cdot\iota_N H)_1+\frac{\alpha'}{4}(\mathrm{tr}(\iota_M R_+\cdot\iota_N R_+)-\mathrm{tr}(\iota_M\mathcal{F}\cdot\iota_N\mathcal{F}))\ ,\\
2\kappa^2\frac{\delta S}{\delta g^{MN}} \ &=\ \sqrt{|g|}e^{-2\phi}\left(\frac{\delta S}{\delta\omega_+}\frac{\delta\omega_+}{\delta g^{MN}}+E_{g\ 0,MN}+E_{g\ 1,MN}+O(\alpha'^{\ 2})\right)\ .
\end{aligned}$$

---

[30] Let us recall briefly how to obtain the dilaton terms in the second line. Note that this derivation does not depend on the dimension. For a variation of the metric $g_{MN}\to g_{MN}+\delta g_{MN}$, one can show using the Levi-Civita connection that $\nabla_M\delta g_{NR} = \delta\Gamma^S_{MN}g_{SR}+\delta\Gamma^S_{MR}g_{SN}$. Then, one gets

$$g^{MN}\left(\nabla_S\delta\Gamma^S_{MN}-\nabla_N\delta\Gamma^S_{SM}\right) = g^{MN}g^{RS}\nabla_S\left(\nabla_M\delta g_{NR}-\nabla_R\delta g_{MN}\right)\ . \tag{B.2}$$

Using the Palatini identity and integration by parts, one gets the dilaton terms in the Einstein equation.

Similarly, we get for the variation with respect to B, up to a total derivative term,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\kappa^2 \delta S &= \int \delta B \wedge \left[\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi} * H) + \frac{\delta S}{\delta \omega_+} \frac{\delta \omega_+}{\delta B} + O(\alpha'^{\ 2}) \right] \ , \\ E_{B\ 0} &= \mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi} * (H)_0) \ , \ E_{B\ 1} = \mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi} * (H)_1) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

Let us now vary the action with respect to ω_+ and $\mathcal{A}$ only. The two variations are very similar, so we look at them together. Note that ω_+ and $\mathcal{A}$ appear in the same manner through H, and through the α' term in the action. Up to total derivative terms, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2\kappa^2 \delta S \ \ = \ \ & -\frac{\alpha'}{4} \int \Big(\delta \omega_{+\ b}^{a} \wedge \omega_{+\ a}^{b} + \mathrm{tr}(\delta A \wedge A) \Big) \wedge \mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi} * H) \\ & -\frac{\alpha'}{4} \int 2 \ \mathrm{tr}(t_{\mathfrak{a}} t_{\mathfrak{b}}) \ \delta \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}} \wedge \Big(\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi} * \mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{b}}) + e^{-2\phi} f^{\mathfrak{b}}{}_{\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{d}} \ \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{c}} \wedge * \mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{d}} - e^{-2\phi} \mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{b}} \wedge * H \Big) \\ & -\frac{\alpha'}{4} \int 2 \ \delta \omega_{+\ b}^{a} \wedge \Big(\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi} * R_{+\ a}^{b}) + e^{-2\phi} \Big(\omega_{+\ c}^{b} \wedge * R_{+\ a}^{c} - \omega_{+\ a}^{c} \wedge * R_{+\ c}^{b} - R_{+\ a}^{b} \wedge * H \Big) \Big) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Using (A.28), we rewrite the variation with respect to the gauge potential in the second line as $\delta \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^n \wedge \nabla_{-,\mathcal{A}\ n}(e^{-2\phi} * \mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{b}})$, where the $\mathcal{A}$ subscript indicates a second covariantization with respect to the gauge field. The symmetry with the connection $\omega_{+\ b}^{a}$ allows us to rewrite the third line in a similar manner. We will come back to its meaning in details. Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2\kappa^2 \delta S \ \ = \ \ & -\frac{\alpha'}{4} \int \Big(\delta \omega_{+\ b}^{a} \wedge \omega_{+\ a}^{b} + \mathrm{tr}(\delta A \wedge A) \Big) \wedge \mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi} * H) \\ & -\frac{\alpha'}{4} \int 2 \ \mathrm{tr}(t_{\mathfrak{a}} t_{\mathfrak{b}}) \ \delta \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{a}} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^n \wedge \nabla_{-,\mathcal{A}\ n}(e^{-2\phi} * \mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{b}}) \\ & -\frac{\alpha'}{4} \int 2 \ \delta \omega_{+\ b}^{a} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^n \wedge \nabla_{-,+\ n}(e^{-2\phi} * R_{+\ a}^{b}) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.4}$$

B.2 The variation with respect to ω_+

Let us rewrite the last line of (B.4) as

$$-\frac{\alpha'}{4} \int \mathrm{d}^{10}x \sqrt{|g|} \ 2 \ \delta \omega_{+\ bc}^{a} (-*) \Big(\theta^c \wedge \theta^f \wedge \nabla_{-,+\ f}(e^{-2\phi} * R_{+\ a}^{b}) \Big) \ , \tag{B.5}$$

where the $(-*)$ of a top form gives its coefficient[31] (divided by $\sqrt{|g|}$ for a coordinate basis). We are now interested in this coefficient that we denote s

$$\begin{aligned} s \ \ &= \ \ (-*) \Big(\theta^c \wedge \theta^f \wedge \nabla_{-,+\ f}(e^{-2\phi} * R_{+\ a}^{b}) \Big) = s_1 + s_2 \ , \\ s_1 \ \ &= \ \ e^{-2\phi} (-*) \Big(\theta^c \wedge \theta^f \wedge \nabla_{-,+\ f}(* R_{+\ a}^{b}) \Big) \ , \ s_2 = (-*) \Big(\theta^c \wedge \theta^f \nabla_f (e^{-2\phi}) \wedge * R_{+\ a}^{b} \Big) \ . \end{aligned}$$

For a generic connection one-form Ω and a two-form t, one can prove using (A.14)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (-*) \Big(\theta^c \wedge \theta^b \wedge \nabla_{\Omega\ b}(*t) \Big) &= \partial_b t^{cb} + \Omega^c{}_{fb} t^{fb} - \Omega^b{}_{fb} t^{fc} \\ \nabla_{\Omega\ b}(t)_{de} &= \partial_b t_{de} - \Omega^f{}_{db} t_{fe} + \Omega^f{}_{eb} t_{fd} \end{aligned} \right| \Rightarrow (-*) \Big(\theta^c \wedge \theta^b \wedge \nabla_{\Omega\ b}(*t) \Big) = \eta^{cd} \eta^{be} \nabla_{\Omega\ b}(t)_{de} \tag{B.6}$$

Furthermore, one can rewrite the ω_+ covariantization in s_1 as

$$(-*) \Big(\theta^c \wedge \Big(\omega_{+\ f}^{b} \wedge * R_{+\ a}^{f} - \omega_{+\ a}^{f} \wedge * R_{+\ f}^{b} \Big) \Big) = \eta^{bl} \eta^{fe} \eta^{cd} \Big(-\omega_{+\ lf}^{k} R_{+\ kade} - \omega_{+\ af}^{k} R_{+\ lkde} \Big) \ .$$

---

[31] The minus is here symbolically taking into account the Lorentzian signature, but the whole derivation does actually not depend on the particular signature. The $(-*)$ should therefore be considered as a notation for the operator giving the coefficient, independently of the signature. In particular, this minus sign is never taken out of the parentheses.

Therefore, we get finally

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_1 = e^{-2\phi}\eta^{bl}\eta^{fe}\eta^{cd}\Big(\partial_f R_{+\ lade} \ & -\omega^k_{-\ df}R_{+\ lake} - \omega^k_{-\ ef}R_{+\ ladk} \\
& -\omega^k_{+\ lf}R_{+\ kade} - \omega^k_{+\ af}R_{+\ lkde}\Big) \ , \qquad \text{(B.7)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is like the covariant derivative of the Riemann tensor, except that some covariantization are done with ω_+ and others with ω_-. As for the Bianchi identity (A.24), the terms in ω_+ provide what is needed to go from a covariant derivative on a two-form to one on a four-tensor. Now, we use (A.30), and in addition turn the two ω_+ into ω_- in order to form a true covariant derivative on a four-tensor. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_1 = e^{-2\phi}\eta^{bl}\eta^{fe}\eta^{cd}\Big(\partial_f R_{-\ dela} \ & -\omega^k_{-\ df}R_{-\ kela} - \omega^k_{-\ ef}R_{-\ dkla} \\
& -\omega^k_{-\ lf}R_{-\ deka} - \omega^k_{-\ af}R_{-\ delk} \\
& -H^k{}_{lf}R_{-\ deka} - H^k{}_{af}R_{-\ delk} \\
& +2\nabla_{+,-\ f}\left(\nabla_{[l}(H)_{ade]}\right)\Big) \ , \qquad \text{(B.8)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\nabla_{+,-\ f}$ means here that ω_+ acts on the first two indices and ω_- on the last two. Using (A.27), we can rewrite this as

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_1 = e^{-2\phi}\eta^{bl}\eta^{fe}\eta^{cd}\Big(\nabla_{-\ f}R_{-\ dela} \ & -3\ T^k_{-\ [fl}R_{-\ de|a]k} + H^k{}_{la}R_{-\ defk} \\
& +2\nabla_{+,-\ f}\left(\nabla_{[l}(H)_{ade]}\right)\Big) \ . \qquad \text{(B.9)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (A.24) and (A.25), we get the following, where the R_- are now Ricci tensors

$$
s_1 = e^{-2\phi}\eta^{bl}\eta^{cd}\Big(2\nabla_{-\ [a}R_{-\ d|l]} - H^k{}_{la}R_{-\ dk} + 2\eta^{fe}\ \nabla_{+,-\ f}\left(\nabla_{[l}(H)_{ade]}\right)\Big) \ . \qquad \text{(B.10)}
$$

We now turn to s_2. Using (A.30), we get

$$
s_2 = \eta^{bl}\eta^{fe}\eta^{cd}\nabla_f(e^{-2\phi})R_{+\ lade} = -2e^{-2\phi}\eta^{bl}\eta^{fe}\eta^{cd}\partial_f(\phi)\left(R_{-\ dela} + 2\nabla_{[l}(H)_{ade]}\right) \ . \qquad \text{(B.11)}
$$

Using (A.22) and (A.27), it becomes

$$
s_2 = -2e^{-2\phi}\eta^{bl}\eta^{cd}\left(2\nabla_{-\ [l}\nabla_{-\ a]}\partial_d(\phi) + H^k{}_{la}\nabla_{-\ k}\partial_d(\phi) + 2\eta^{fe}\partial_f(\phi)\nabla_{[l}(H)_{ade]}\right) \ . \qquad \text{(B.12)}
$$

Considering s_2 together with s_1, we finally get for s

$$
\begin{aligned}
s = e^{-2\phi}\eta^{bl}\eta^{cd} \quad \Bigg(\quad & 2\nabla_{-\ [a}\left(R_{-\ d|l]} + 2\nabla_{-\ l]}\partial_d\phi\right) + H^k{}_{al}\left(R_{-\ dk} + 2\nabla_{-\ k}\partial_d\phi\right) \\
& +2\eta^{fe}e^{2\phi}\ \nabla_{+,-\ f}\left(e^{-2\phi}(\mathrm{d}H)_{lade}\right)\Bigg) \ , \qquad \text{(B.13)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last line we used (A.20). Together with (B.5) and (B.4), we can reconstruct the variation of the action with respect to ω_+. We obtain formula (2.9):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2\kappa^2}{\sqrt{|g|}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta\omega^a_{+\ bc}} \ = \ & -\frac{\alpha'}{4}\Bigg[(-*)\left(\theta^c\wedge\omega^b_{+\ a}\wedge \mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi} * H)\right) + 4\eta^{bl}\eta^{cd}\eta^{fe}\ \nabla_{+,-\ f}\left(e^{-2\phi}(\mathrm{d}H)_{lade}\right) \qquad \text{(B.14)} \\
& +2e^{-2\phi}\eta^{bl}\eta^{cd}\Big(2\nabla_{-\ [a}\left(R_{-\ d|l]} + 2\nabla_{-\ l]}\partial_d\phi\right) + H^k{}_{al}\left(R_{-\ dk} + 2\nabla_{-\ k}\partial_d\phi\right)\Big)\Bigg] \ .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (A.14) and (2.6), one can show that

$$\nabla_{\epsilon\ m}\partial_n\phi = \nabla_m\partial_n\phi + \frac{\epsilon}{2} g_{mq} g_{nr} (-*) \left(\mathrm{d}x^q \wedge \mathrm{d}x^r \wedge \mathrm{d}\phi \wedge *H\right) \ . \tag{B.15}$$

Then, we reexpress the Ricci tensor (A.31) as

$$R_{\epsilon\ be} = R_{be} - \frac{1}{4} H_{bac} H_e{}^{ac} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \eta_{bc}\eta_{ed}(-*) \left(\theta^c \wedge \theta^d \wedge e^{2\phi}\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi} * H)\right) - 2\left(\nabla_{\epsilon\ e}\partial_b\phi - \nabla_e\partial_b\phi\right) \ , \tag{B.16}$$

which can also be written with m, n indices. Using the symmetry of the Ricci tensor R_{be}, we get the quantity entering s, or the second line of (B.14):

$$R_{-\ be} + 2\nabla_{-\ e}\partial_b\phi = E_{g\ 0,eb} + \frac{\eta_{eb}}{2} E_{\phi\ 0} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{bc}\eta_{ed}(-*)\left(\theta^c \wedge \theta^d \wedge e^{2\phi} E_{B\ 0}\right) + O(\alpha') \ . \tag{B.17}$$

We are now able to conclude on the variation of the action with respect to ω_+, and the e.o.m. at order α'.

B.3 Conclusion on the equations of motion

According to (B.4), the variation of the action with respect to ω_+ and the gauge potential are of order α'. Therefore, if one solves the equations of motion order by order, one should first solve order $\alpha'^{\ 0}$ equations, meaning $E_{\phi\ 0}$, $E_{g\ 0,MN}$ and $E_{B\ 0}$. Then one can consider the variations at order α'. Let us first focus on the variation with respect to the gauge potential. Given the order $\alpha'^{\ 0}$ e.o.m. are satisfied, we read from (B.4) that this variation leads to

$$e^{2\phi}\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi} * \mathcal{F}) + \mathcal{A} \wedge *\mathcal{F} - *\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{F} \wedge (*H)_0 = 0 + O(\alpha') \ . \tag{B.18}$$

Let us now consider the variation with respect to ω_+, given in (B.14). The term in $\mathrm{d}H$, which is of order α' (see below equation (2.5)), then only contributes in this variation as $O(\alpha'^{\ 2})$. In addition, thanks to (B.17), we can see that the remaining terms in (B.14) vanish once the order $\alpha'^{\ 0}$ e.o.m. are satisfied. Therefore, the variation of the action at order α' with respect to ω_+ can be consistently discarded. This is the result of the lemma given in [17], that we have rederived here.

To conclude, the equations of motion for the metric, B-field, and dilaton, are then corrected at order α' only with $E_{g\ 1,MN}$, $E_{B\ 1}$, and $E_{\phi\ 1}$ respectively.

C Rewriting the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory

In this appendix, we plug the "heterotic ansatz" detailed in section 3.2 into the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional theory, and rewrite its Einstein equation and B-field equation of motion (e.o.m.) accordingly. To do so, we compute first preliminary quantities. In the basis $\mathcal{B}_1$ written with the forms $(\mathrm{d}x^{M=0\ldots D}, \mathrm{d}x^{\mathfrak{a}=1\ldots d_{\mathrm{g}}})$, one gets for the metric $\mathrm{d}s^2_{\tilde{D}} = (g_{MN} + g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} A^{\mathfrak{a}}_M A^{\mathfrak{b}}_N)\mathrm{d}x^M \mathrm{d}x^N + 2 g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} A^{\mathfrak{b}}_M \mathrm{d}x^M \mathrm{d}x^{\mathfrak{a}} + g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} \mathrm{d}x^{\mathfrak{a}}\mathrm{d}x^{\mathfrak{b}}$, i.e. one can write the metric coefficients $\tilde{g}_{\tilde{M}\tilde{N}}$ (and its inverse $\tilde{g}^{\tilde{M}\tilde{N}}$) in this basis as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{g}_{MN} = g_{MN} + g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} A^{\mathfrak{a}}_M A^{\mathfrak{b}}_N \ , \ \tilde{g}_{M\mathfrak{a}} = g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} A^{\mathfrak{b}}_M \ , \ \tilde{g}_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} = g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} \\
&\tilde{g}^{MN} = g^{MN} \ , \ \tilde{g}^{M\mathfrak{a}} = -A^{\mathfrak{a}}_N g^{NM} \ , \ \tilde{g}^{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} = g^{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} + g^{MN} A^{\mathfrak{a}}_M A^{\mathfrak{b}}_N \ .
\end{aligned}$$

This basis being a coordinate basis, we can use it to compute the connection coefficients and the Ricci tensor. Nevertheless, we will come back later to the basis $\mathcal{B}_2$, more suited to rewrite the Einstein equation. Using the Levi-Civita connection both in $\tilde{D}$ and D dimensions, one gets for its coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\Gamma}^{\tilde{M}}_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} = 0 \ , \ \tilde{\Gamma}^{\mathfrak{a}}_{\mathfrak{b}M} = -\frac{1}{2} A^{\mathfrak{a}}_N g^{NL} g_{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}} F^{\mathfrak{c}}_{ML} \ , \ \tilde{\Gamma}^{M}_{\mathfrak{a}N} = \frac{1}{2} g^{ML} g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} F^{\mathfrak{b}}_{NL} \ , \\
&\tilde{\Gamma}^{\mathfrak{a}}_{MN} = -A^{\mathfrak{a}}_R(\Gamma^R_{MN} + g^{RL} g_{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}} A^{\mathfrak{b}}_{(M} F^{\mathfrak{c}}_{N)L}) + \partial_{(M} A^{\mathfrak{a}}_{N)} \ , \ \tilde{\Gamma}^R_{MN} = \Gamma^R_{MN} + g^{RL} g_{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}} A^{\mathfrak{b}}_{(M} F^{\mathfrak{c}}_{N)L} \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

where we recall $F^{\mathfrak{a}}_{MN} = 2\partial_{[M}A^{\mathfrak{a}}_{N]}$. Out of these[32], one can compute the components of the Ricci tensor $\tilde{R}_{\tilde{M}\tilde{N}}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{R}_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} &= \frac{1}{4} g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}} g_{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{d}} F^{\mathfrak{c}}_{MN} F^{\mathfrak{d}\ MN} \\
\tilde{R}_{\mathfrak{a}M} &= \frac{1}{2} g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} \nabla_N (g^{NL} F^{\mathfrak{b}}_{ML}) + \frac{1}{4} g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} g_{\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{d}} A^{\mathfrak{c}}_M F^{\mathfrak{b}}_{LN} F^{\mathfrak{d}\ LN} \\
\tilde{R}_{MN} &= R_{MN} + \frac{1}{4} g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} g_{\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{d}} F^{\mathfrak{a}}_{PQ} F^{\mathfrak{c}\ PQ} A^{\mathfrak{b}}_M A^{\mathfrak{d}}_N - g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} \nabla_P (g^{PL} F^{\mathfrak{a}}_{L(N}) A^{\mathfrak{b}}_{M)}) + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} g^{LP} F^{\mathfrak{a}}_{L(M} F^{\mathfrak{b}}_{N)P} \ .
\end{aligned}$$

And finally, the scalar curvature is simply

$$\tilde{R} = R - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} F^{\mathfrak{a}}_{MN} F^{\mathfrak{b}\ MN} \ . \tag{C.2}$$

C.1 The Einstein equation and the H-flux

In the basis $\mathcal{B}_2$ given by $(\{\mathrm{d}x^M\}, \{\mathrm{d}x^{\mathfrak{a}} + A^{\mathfrak{a}}\})$, the metric is block diagonal: $\mathrm{d}s^2_{\tilde{D}} = g_{MN}\mathrm{d}x^M \mathrm{d}x^N + g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}(\mathrm{d}x^{\mathfrak{a}} + A^{\mathfrak{a}})(\mathrm{d}x^{\mathfrak{b}} + A^{\mathfrak{b}})$. As a consequence, the quantities entering the Einstein equations are simpler in this basis. Performing the change of basis on the Ricci tensor, we get the following components $\tilde{R}'_{\tilde{M}\tilde{N}}$ in $\mathcal{B}_2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{R}'_{MN} &= \tilde{R}_{MN} - 2\tilde{R}_{\mathfrak{a}(M} A^{\mathfrak{a}}_{N)} + \tilde{R}_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} A^{\mathfrak{a}}_M A^{\mathfrak{b}}_N \\
&= R_{MN} + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} g^{LP} F^{\mathfrak{a}}_{L(M} F^{\mathfrak{b}}_{N)P} && \text{(C.3)} \\
\tilde{R}'_{\mathfrak{a}M} &= \tilde{R}_{\mathfrak{a}M} - \tilde{R}_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} A^{\mathfrak{b}}_M \\
&= \frac{1}{2} g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} \nabla_N (g^{NL} F^{\mathfrak{b}}_{ML}) && \text{(C.4)} \\
\tilde{R}'_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} &= \tilde{R}_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} = \frac{1}{4} g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}} g_{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{d}} F^{\mathfrak{c}}_{MN} F^{\mathfrak{d}\ MN} \ . && \text{(C.5)}
\end{aligned}$$

Considering the same combinations of the dilaton term $\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{M}} \partial_{\tilde{N}} \tilde{\phi}$ (or equivalently bringing this two-tensor to the basis $\mathcal{B}_2$ via the same transformation), it also gets simplified:

$$\tilde{\nabla}_M \partial_N \tilde{\phi} - 2\tilde{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{a}} \partial_{(M}(\tilde{\phi}) A^{\mathfrak{a}}_{N)} = \nabla_M \partial_N \phi \tag{C.6}$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{a}} \partial_M \tilde{\phi} = -\frac{1}{2} g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} g^{KL} F^{\mathfrak{b}}_{MK} \partial_L \phi \ . \tag{C.7}$$

The H-flux is in any case simpler when expressed in basis $\mathcal{B}_2$. One gets from (3.16)

$$\tilde{H} = H + c g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} F^{\mathfrak{a}} \wedge (\mathrm{d}x^{\mathfrak{b}} + A^{\mathfrak{b}}) \tag{C.8}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \tilde{H}'_{MNP} = H_{MNP} \ , \ \tilde{H}'_{\mathfrak{a}MN} = c g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} F^{\mathfrak{b}}_{MN} \ , \tag{C.9}$$

up to order $\alpha'^{\ \frac{3}{2}}$ terms. Then, we deduce

$$|\tilde{H}|^2 = |H|^2 + \frac{c^2}{2} g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} F^{\mathfrak{a}}_{MN} F^{\mathfrak{b}\ MN} + O(\alpha'^{\ \frac{3}{2}}) \ . \tag{C.10}$$

The Einstein equation in basis $\mathcal{B}_2$ involves the term in $\iota_{\tilde{M}} \tilde{H}' \cdot \iota_{\tilde{N}} \tilde{H}'$, for which one gets, up to order $\alpha'^{\ \frac{3}{2}}$ terms,

$$\begin{aligned}
\iota_M \tilde{H}' \cdot \iota_N \tilde{H}' &= \iota_M H \cdot \iota_N H + c^2 g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} \iota_M F^{\mathfrak{a}} \cdot \iota_N F^{\mathfrak{b}} && \text{(C.11)} \\
\iota_M \tilde{H}' \cdot \iota_{\mathfrak{a}} \tilde{H}' &= \frac{c}{2} g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} F^{\mathfrak{b}}_{NP} H_M{}^{NP} && \text{(C.12)} \\
\iota_{\mathfrak{a}} \tilde{H}' \cdot \iota_{\mathfrak{b}} \tilde{H}' &= \frac{c^2}{2} g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}} g_{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{d}} F^{\mathfrak{c}}_{MN} F^{\mathfrak{d}\ MN} \ . && \text{(C.13)}
\end{aligned}$$

---

[32] Note the useful formulas

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^M_{\mathfrak{a}M} = 0 \ , \ \tilde{\Gamma}^{\tilde{M}}_{N\tilde{M}} = \Gamma^M_{NM} \ . \tag{C.1}$$

Finally, putting all the pieces together, the Einstein equation in $\tilde{D}$ dimensions (3.5) is equivalent to

$$R_{MN} - \frac{1}{2}\iota_M H \cdot \iota_N H + 2\nabla_M \partial_N \phi - \frac{c^2+1}{2} g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} \iota_M F^{\mathfrak{a}} \cdot \iota_N F^{\mathfrak{b}} + \frac{\alpha'}{4} \mathrm{tr}(\iota_M R_+ \cdot \iota_N R_+) = 0 + O(\alpha'^{\,\frac{3}{2}}) \quad \text{(C.14)}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} \nabla_N (g^{NL} F^{\mathfrak{b}}_{ML}) - \frac{c}{4} g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} F^{\mathfrak{b}}_{NP} H_M{}^{NP} - g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} g^{KL} F^{\mathfrak{b}}_{MK} \partial_L \phi = 0 + O(\alpha'^{\,\frac{3}{2}}) \quad \text{(C.15)}$$

$$\frac{1-c^2}{4} g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}} g_{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{d}} F^{\mathfrak{c}}_{MN} F^{\mathfrak{d}\ MN} = 0 + O(\alpha'^{\,\frac{3}{2}}) \ , \quad \text{(C.16)}$$

where we used (3.17).

We can rewrite the off-diagonal equation (C.15) in terms of forms. We first rewrite it equivalently as

$$g^{KM} g^{NL} \nabla_N (e^{-2\phi} F^{\mathfrak{b}}_{ML}) - \frac{c}{2} e^{-2\phi} F^{\mathfrak{b}}_{NP} H^{KNP} = 0 + O(\alpha'^{\,\frac{3}{2}}) \ , \quad \text{(C.17)}$$

where we used the compatibility of the metric with respect to the Levi-Civita connection. Using (B.6), (A.20) and (2.6), it becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &(-*_D) \mathrm{d}x^K \wedge \Big(\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi} *_D F^{\mathfrak{b}}) - c e^{-2\phi} F^{\mathfrak{b}} \wedge *_D H \Big) = 0 + O(\alpha'^{\,\frac{3}{2}}) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi} *_D F^{\mathfrak{b}}) - c e^{-2\phi} F^{\mathfrak{b}} \wedge *_D H = 0 + O(\alpha'^{\,\frac{3}{2}}) \ , \end{aligned} \quad \text{(C.18)}$$

where $(-*_D)$ should be understood as discussed in footnote 31 of appendix B.2.

C.2 The B-field equation of motion

We are going to rewrite the $\tilde{D}$-dimensional B-field e.o.m. (3.6) using the "heterotic ansatz", in particular the formula (3.16) for $\tilde{H}$. For simplicity, we introduce the notation $X^{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathrm{d}x^{\mathfrak{a}} + A^{\mathfrak{a}}$. For the Hodge star, we are going to use the basis $\mathcal{B}_2$ since the metric is block diagonal there. To simplify the computation further, we go to the basis where $g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}$ is diagonal. Being a constant symmetric matrix, the change of basis to perform only leads to a redefinition of the coordinates and connections via linear constant transformations. There is therefore no ambiguity in these redefinitions, and this change of basis is always possible. We do not change notations, so we consider $\tilde{H}$ to be given by

$$\tilde{H} = H + c g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}} F^{\mathfrak{a}} \wedge X^{\mathfrak{a}} \ , \quad \text{(C.19)}$$

up to order $\alpha'^{\,\frac{3}{2}}$ terms that we will not write for simplicity. Making use of (A.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} *_{\tilde{D}} \tilde{H} &= (*_D H) \wedge (*_g 1) + (-1)^D c g_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}} \, (*_D F^{\mathfrak{a}}) \wedge (*_g X^{\mathfrak{a}}) \\ &= *_D H \, \frac{\sqrt{|g_g|}}{d_{\mathrm{g}}!} \epsilon_{\mathfrak{b}_1 \ldots \mathfrak{b}_{d_{\mathrm{g}}}} \bigwedge_{\mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{b}_1}^{\mathfrak{b}_{d_{\mathrm{g}}}} X^{\mathfrak{b}} + (-1)^D c \ *_D F^{\mathfrak{a}} \, \frac{\sqrt{|g_g|}}{(d_{\mathrm{g}}-1)!} \epsilon_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}_1 \ldots \mathfrak{b}_{d_{\mathrm{g}}-1}} \bigwedge_{\mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{b}_1}^{\mathfrak{b}_{d_{\mathrm{g}}-1}} X^{\mathfrak{b}} \ . \end{aligned} \quad \text{(C.20)}$$

Then, after computation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2\varphi} \mathrm{d}(e^{-2\tilde{\phi}} *_{\tilde{D}} \tilde{H}) &= \mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi} *_D H) \, \frac{\sqrt{|g_g|}}{d_{\mathrm{g}}!} \epsilon_{\mathfrak{b}_1 \ldots \mathfrak{b}_{d_{\mathrm{g}}}} \bigwedge_{\mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{b}_1}^{\mathfrak{b}_{d_{\mathrm{g}}}} X^{\mathfrak{b}} \\ &\quad + (-1)^D \left[-e^{-2\phi} *_D H \wedge F^{\mathfrak{a}} + c\mathrm{d}\left(e^{-2\phi} *_D F^{\mathfrak{a}} \right) \right] \, \frac{\sqrt{|g_g|}}{(d_{\mathrm{g}}-1)!} \epsilon_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}_1 \ldots \mathfrak{b}_{d_{\mathrm{g}}-1}} \bigwedge_{\mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{b}_1}^{\mathfrak{b}_{d_{\mathrm{g}}-1}} X^{\mathfrak{b}} \\ &\quad + c e^{-2\phi} \frac{\sqrt{|g_g|}}{(d_{\mathrm{g}}-2)!} \ *_D F^{\mathfrak{a}} \wedge F^{\mathfrak{b}} \ \epsilon_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}_1 \ldots \mathfrak{c}_{d_{\mathrm{g}}-2}} \bigwedge_{\mathfrak{c}=\mathfrak{c}_1}^{\mathfrak{c}_{d_{\mathrm{g}}-2}} X^{\mathfrak{c}} \ . \end{aligned} \quad \text{(C.21)}$$

First note that the last line automatically vanishes. Indeed, according to (2.6), $*_D F^{\mathfrak{a}} \wedge F^{\mathfrak{b}}$ is symmetric in $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ while $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}_1 \ldots \mathfrak{c}_{d_{\mathrm{g}}-2}}$ is antisymmetric. Then, we can look at the first two lines using the basis

$\mathcal{B}_1$, and consider the different degrees of the forms living on the D-dimensional space. Putting the whole expression to zero because of the equation of motion (3.6), we get conditions on these different forms. The smallest form living on the D-dimensional space is a $(D-2)$-form, obtained only out of the first line. From it we get:

$$\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi} *_D H) = 0 \ . \tag{C.22}$$

The first line therefore vanishes. Then, the next smallest form is a $(D-1)$-form, coming out the second line. We get:

$$\forall \mathfrak{a} \ , \ -e^{-2\phi} *_D H \wedge F^{\mathfrak{a}} + c\mathrm{d}\left(e^{-2\phi} *_D F^{\mathfrak{a}}\right) = 0 \ . \tag{C.23}$$

The second line then also vanishes, and nothing remains. To conclude, we get that the B-field equation of motion (3.6) is equivalent to the two equations

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{d}(e^{-2\phi} *_D H) = 0 + O(\alpha'^{\frac{3}{2}}) \\ &c\mathrm{d}\left(e^{-2\phi} *_D F^{\mathfrak{a}}\right) - e^{-2\phi} F^{\mathfrak{a}} \wedge *_D H = 0 + O(\alpha'^{\frac{3}{2}}) \ , \end{aligned}$$

where we put back the proper α' neglected terms. Note that the last equation is valid for any $F^{\mathfrak{a}}$ defined in the basis where $g_{\mathfrak{ab}}$ is diagonal. We can go back to the initial basis by performing on these $F^{\mathfrak{a}}$ the inverse constant linear transformation. The result is of course the same: the last equation is therefore valid in full generality.

References

[1] C. Vafa, *Evidence for F theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **469** (1996) 403 [hep-th/9602022]

[2] A. Giveon, M. Porrati, E. Rabinovici, *Target Space Duality in String Theory*, *Phys. Rept.* **244** (1994) 77 [hep-th/9401139]

[3] D. C. Thompson, *T-duality Invariant Approaches to String Theory*, [arXiv:1012.4393]

[4] N. Hitchin, *Generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds*, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.* **54** (2003) 281 [math.DG/0209099]
M. Gualtieri, *Generalized complex geometry*, *Oxford University DPhil thesis*, [math.DG/0401221]

[5] M. Graña, R. Minasian, M. Petrini, D. Waldram, *T-duality, Generalized Geometry and Non-Geometric Backgrounds*, *JHEP* **04** (2009) 075 [arXiv:0807.4527]

[6] P. Koerber, *Lectures on Generalized Complex Geometry for Physicists*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **59** (2011) 169 [arXiv:1006.1536]

[7] C. M. Hull, *A Geometry for non-geometric string backgrounds*, *JHEP* **10** (2005) 065 [hep-th/0406102]

[8] O. Hohm, C. Hull, B. Zwiebach, *Generalized metric formulation of double field theory*, *JHEP* **08** (2010) 008 [arXiv:1006.4823]
O. Hohm, C. Hull, B. Zwiebach, *Background independent action for double field theory*, *JHEP* **07** (2010) 016 [arXiv:1003.5027]

[9] K. S. Narain, *New Heterotic String Theories in Uncompactified Dimensions < 10*, *Phys. Lett. B* **169** (1986) 41

[10] K. S. Narain, M. H. Sarmadi, E. Witten, *A Note on Toroidal Compactification of Heterotic String Theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **279** (1987) 369

[11] A. Giveon, E. Rabinovici, G. Veneziano, *Duality in String Background Space*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **322** (1989) 167

[12] A. D. Shapere, F. Wilczek, *Selfdual Models with Theta Terms*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **320** (1989) 669

[13] A. Giveon, M. Roček, *Generalized duality in curved string backgrounds*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **380** (1992) 128 [hep-th/9112070]

[14] S. F. Hassan, A. Sen, *Twisting classical solutions in heterotic string theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **375** (1992) 103 [hep-th/9109038]

[15] J. Maharana, J. H. Schwarz, *Noncompact symmetries in string theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **390** (1993) 3 [hep-th/9207016]

[16] S. Elitzur, E. Gross, E. Rabinovici, N. Seiberg, *Aspects of bosonization in string theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **283** (1987) 413

[17] E. A. Bergshoeff, M. de Roo, *The Quartic Effective Action Of The Heterotic String And Supersymmetry*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **328** (1989) 439

[18] E. Goldstein, S. Prokushkin, *Geometric model for complex non-Kaehler manifolds with SU(3) structure*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **251** (2004) 65 [hep-th/0212307]

[19] J-X. Fu, S-T. Yau, *The Theory of superstring with flux on non-Kahler manifolds and the complex Monge-Ampere equation*, *J. Diff. Geom.* **78** (2009) 369 [hep-th/0604063]

[20] J. Evslin, R. Minasian, *Topology Change from (Heterotic) Narain T-Duality*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **820** (2009) 213 [arXiv:0811.3866]

[21] A. Strominger, *Superstrings with torsion*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **274** (1986) 253

[22] C. M. Hull, *Compactifications of the heterotic superstring*, *Phys. Lett. B* **178** (1986) 357

[23] K. Dasgupta, G. Rajesh, S. Sethi, *M Theory, Orientifolds and G-flux*, *JHEP* **08** (1999) 023 [hep-th/9908088]

[24] K. Becker, K. Dasgupta, *Heterotic Strings with Torsion*, *JHEP* **11** (2002) 006 [hep-th/0209077]

[25] K. Becker, M. Becker, J-X. Fu, L-S. Tseng, S-T. Yau, *Anomaly Cancellation and Smooth Non-Kähler Solutions in Heterotic String Theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **751** (2006) 108 [hep-th/0604137]

[26] M. Becker, L-S. Tseng, S-T. Yau, *Heterotic Kähler/non-Kähler Transitions*, [arXiv:0706.4290]

[27] S. Sethi, *A Note on Heterotic Dualities via M-theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **659** (2008) 385 [arXiv:0707.0295]

[28] P. S. Aspinwall, *An analysis of fluxes by duality*, [hep-th/0504036]

[29] D. Andriot, R. Minasian, M. Petrini, *Flux backgrounds from Twists*, *JHEP* **12** (2009) 028 [arXiv:0903.0633]

[30] D. Martelli, J. Sparks, *Non-Kahler heterotic rotations*, [arXiv:1010.4031]

[31] T. H. Buscher, *A Symmetry of the String Background Field Equations*, *Phys. Lett. B* **194** (1987) 59
T. H. Buscher, *Path Integral Derivation of Quantum Duality in Nonlinear Sigma Models*, *Phys. Lett. B* **201** (1988) 466

[32] J. Held, D. Lüst, F. Marchesano, L. Martucci, *DWSB in heterotic flux compactifications*, *JHEP* **06** (2010) 090 [arXiv:1004.0867]

[33] M. Graña, R. Minasian, M. Petrini, A. Tomasiello, *Supersymmetric Backgrounds from Generalized Calabi-Yau Manifolds*, *JHEP* **08** (2004) 046 [hep-th/0406137]

[34] M. Graña, R. Minasian, M. Petrini, A. Tomasiello, *Generalized structures of* $\mathcal{N} = 1$ *vacua*, *JHEP* **11** (2005) 020 [hep-th/0505212]

[35] S. Kim, P. Yi, *A heterotic flux background and calibrated five-branes*, *JHEP* **11** (2006) 040 [hep-th/0607091]

[36] J. Li, S-T. Yau, *The existence of supersymmetric string theory with torsion*, [hep-th/0411136]

[37] K. Becker, S. Sethi, *Torsional Heterotic Geometries*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **820** (2009) 1 [arXiv:0903.3769]

[38] L. Anguelova, F. Larsen, R. O'Connell, *Heterotic Flux Attractors*, *JHEP* **11** (2010) 010 [arXiv:1006.4981]

[39] A. Flournoy, B. Wecht, B. Williams, *Constructing nongeometric vacua in string theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **706** (2005) 127 [hep-th/0404217]

[40] J. McOrist, D. R. Morrison, S. Sethi, *Geometries, Non-Geometries, and Fluxes*, [arXiv:1004.5447]

[41] S. B. Giddings, S. Kachru, J. Polchinski, *Hierarchies from Fluxes in String Compactifications*, *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002) 106006 [hep-th/0105097]

[42] S. Kachru, M. B. Schulz, P. K. Tripathy, S. P. Trivedi, *New supersymmetric string compactifications*, *JHEP* **03** (2003) 061 [hep-th/0211182]

[43] M. B. Schulz, *Superstring orientifolds with torsion: O5 orientifolds of torus fibrations and their massless spectra*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **52** (2004) 963 [hep-th/0406001]

[44] M. Graña, *Flux compactifications in string theory: A comprehensive review*, *Phys. Rept.* **423** (2006) 91 [hep-th/0509003]

[45] A. Casher, F. Englert, H. Nicolai, A. Taormina, *Consistent Superstrings as Solutions of the D=26 Bosonic String Theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **162** (1985) 121
F. Englert, H. Nicolai, A. Schellekens, *Superstrings From Twentysix-dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **274** (1986) 315

[46] M. J. Duff, B. E. W. Nilsson, C. N. Pope, *Kaluza-Klein Approach To The Heterotic String*, *Phys. Lett. B* **163** (1985) 343
M. J. Duff, B. E. W. Nilsson, N. P. Warner, C. N. Pope, *Kaluza-Klein Approach To The Heterotic String. 2*, *Phys. Lett. B* **171** (1986) 170
A. H. Chamseddine, M. J. Duff, B. E. W. Nilsson, C. N. Pope, D. A. Ross, *SUPERSTRING sigma MODELS FROM BOSONIC ONES*, *Phys. Lett. B* **193** (1987) 444

[47] S. Ivanov, *Heterotic supersymmetry, anomaly cancellation and equations of motion*, *Phys. Lett. B* **685** (2010) 190 [arXiv:0908.2927]